FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 18 NUMBER 41

Washington, Tuesday, March 3, 1953

TITLE 26—INTERNAL REVENUE

Chapter I—Bureau of Internal Revenue, Department of the Treasury

Subchapter C—Miscellaneous Excise Taxes

[T. D. 5996; Regs. 15]

PART 190—RECTIFICATION OF SPIRITS AND WINES

REQUIREMENT FOR VODKA RECEIVING TANKS AT RECTIFYING PLANTS

1. Sections 190.122 and 190.468a of Regulations 15 are amended to read as follows:

SUBPART I—EQUIPMENT

* * * * *

§ 190.122 *Receiving tanks.* Where rectified products are produced by redistillation, such as gin or cordials, or where spirits are redistilled, the rectifier must provide a requisite number of receiving tanks. Where vodka is produced, a receiving tank or tanks may be provided therefor, if desired. Each receiving tank shall be constructed of metal or other suitable material and equipped with a suitable device whereby the contents can be accurately determined. Manheads, inlets, and outlets of such tanks must be provided with facilities for locking with Government locks. Each tank shall have plainly and legibly painted thereon words indicating its use, as "Gin Receiving Tank," "Cordial Receiving Tank," "Heads and Tails Receiving Tank," "Vodka Receiving Tank," etc., followed by its serial number and capacity in gallons. Receiving tanks must be located in the rectifying room.

(53 Stat. 300 as amended, 318; 26 U. S. C. 2801, 2829)

SUBPART Y—RECTIFICATION

* * * * *

TAX-EXEMPT VODKA

§ 190.468a *Production.* Vodka may be produced exempt from the rectification tax in accordance with the procedure prescribed by § 190.468b. Vodka so produced must be either (a) promptly drawn from the processing or receiving tank or tanks into metal, porcelain or glass containers or paraffin-lined containers, gauged, stamped, and removed to the finished products room or (b) transferred from such tank or tanks to a bottling tank, gauged, and then bottled and removed to the finished products rooms or conveyed by pipeline to a contiguous tax-paid bottling house or rectifying plant for bottling. (See §§ 190.615 to 190.672.)

(53 Stat. 293, as amended, 300, as amended; 26 U. S. C. 2800, 2801)

2. The purpose of these amendments is to eliminate the requirement that vodka produced at the rectifying plant must be run into a receiving tank prior to being drawn into prescribed containers or transferred to a bottling tank. Since vodka is produced at rectifying plants by the treatment of distilled spirits with charcoal in processing tanks, the deposit of the finished vodka in a receiving tank prior to packaging or transfer to a bottling tank is an unnecessary requirement. The use of such a tank will be optional.

3. It is found that compliance with the notice, public rule making procedure, and effective date requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U. S. C. 1001, et seq.) is unnecessary in connection with the issuance of these regulations for the reason that the changes made are of a liberalizing character.

4. This Treasury decision shall be effective immediately upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(53 Stat. 375; 26 U. S. C. 3176)

[SEAL] T. COLEMAN ANDREWS,
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: February 26, 1953.

ELBERT P TUTTLE,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1926; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

[T. D. 5995; Regs. 15]

PART 190—RECTIFICATION OF SPIRITS AND WINES

RECTIFICATION UNDER TRADE NAMES

1. Regulations 15, "Rectification of Spirits and Wines" (26 CFR Part 190;

(Continued on p. 1161)

CONTENTS

FEDERAL REGISTER

Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended June 19, 1937.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $1.50 per month or $15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15¢) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

CONTENTS—Continued

CONTENTS—Continued

CODIFICATION GUIDE

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

15 F. R. 4790) as amended, are hereby further amended as follows:

a. Sections 190.246, 190.252, 190.436, 190.900, 190.903 and 190.904 are amended; and

b. Sections 190.900a and 190.900b are added.

SUBPART M—REQUIREMENTS GOVERNING CHANGES IN NAME, PROPRIETORSHIP, CONTROL, LOCATION, PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

* * * * *

§ 190.246 *Marking packages and cases.* Where there is a change in the individual, firm, or corporate name of the rectifier, he must, upon receipt of the Assistant District Commissioner's authorization, as provided in § 190.316, mark under such new name the spirits rectified or bottled thereunder, as provided in Subpart II of this part, unless the spirits are rectified or bottled under a trade name or style other than the name in which the rectifying plant is qualified and operated, as provided in Subpart NN.

(53 Stat. 309, 318, 327, as amended, 329, 373, as amended, 394; 26 U. S. C. 2812, 2831, 2857, 2861, 3170, 3270)

TRADE NAMES

* * * * *

§ 190.252 *Marking containers.* The packages and cases of spirits may be marked under the trade name in which they were rectified or bottled as provided in Subpart NN of this part.

(53 Stat. 329, 373, as amended; 26 U. S. C. 2861, 3170)

SUBPART X—GAUGING AND DUMPING SPIRITS FOR RECTIFICATION

* * * * *

§ 190.436 *Marking processing tanks.* In every case where spirits are dumped into processing tanks or receptacles, the number of the formula under which the spirits are to be rectified will be marked legibly upon such tanks or receptacles: *Provided,* That where spirits are not to be rectified in the processing tanks or receptacles in which originally dumped, such tanks will be labeled to show the kind of spirits and the serial number and date of the Form 122 pursuant to which the spirits were dumped, in lieu of the number of the formula: *And provided further* That where packages of spirits are dumped under the circumstances described in § 190.438 the rectifier will not be required to mark the formula number on processing tanks or receptacles.

SUBPART NN—OPERATING UNDER A NEW NAME OR RECTIFYING AND BOTTLING UNDER DIFFERENT TRADE NAMES

§ 190.900 *Qualification of proprietor* Whenever the proprietor of a rectifying plant desires to change the individual or corporate name, or the trade name or style, in which the rectifying plant is operated, he must, except as provided in §§ 190.900a and 190.900b, secure approval of such change in the manner prescribed in §§ 190.240 to 190.256 prior to the commencement of operations.

§ 190.900a *Trade name rectification.* Where the rectifier desires to rectify particular spirits under a trade name or style other than the name in which the rectifying plant is qualified and operated, and such trade name or style has been specified on an approved Form 27–B and on the rectifier's Federal Alcohol Administration Act permit, he may rectify such spirits under such approved trade name without filing an amended notice on Form 27–B or changing the name under which the rectifying plant is then qualified and operated. Form 122–Supplemental will be prepared, in duplicate, covering the particular spirits which the rectifier desires to rectify under such trade name. In the heading of the form will be shown the formula number and the trade name under which the spirits are to be rectified, and in the body of the form will be shown the quantities of each kind of spirits, which previously have been dumped for rectification pursuant to Form 122 and which it is desired to rectify under the formula and trade name specified. The rectifier will submit both copies of Form 122–Supplemental to the Government officer, who will inspect the spirits described on the form and verify the entries. If the officer is satisfied that the entries are correct, he will approve both copies of the form and return them to the proprietor who will retain one copy on file at the rectifying plant available for examination by Government officers. The original copy of Form 122–Supplemental will be attached to the processing tank in which the spirits are rectified, and shall remain attached to the tank until the rectified spirits are transferred to packages or to a bottling tank for bottling pursuant to Form 237, at which time the Form 122–Supplemental will be removed from the processing tank and attached to the Form 237. The rectifier shall state on Part 1 of Form 237 the trade name or style under which the spirits were rectified. The spirits so rectified may be labeled "blended by" "made by", "prepared by", "manufactured by" or "produced by" (whichever may be appropriate to the act of rectification involved) followed by the trade name or style under which the spirits were rectified, and the address (or addresses) of the rectifier, in accordance with Regulations 5 (27 CFR Part 5) issued under the Federal Alcohol Administration Act.

§ 190.900b *Trade name bottling.* Where the rectifier desires to bottle particular spirits under a trade name or style other than the name in which the rectifying plant is qualified and operated, or the name under which the spirits were rectified pursuant to Form 122–Supplemental, and such trade name or style has been specified on an approved Form 27–B and on the rectifier's Federal Alcohol Administration Act permit, and he has secured certificates of label approval (Form 1649) or certificates of exemption from label approval (Form 1650) for the labeling of spirits under such trade name or style, he shall specify on Form 230 or Form 237, as the case may be, his intent to bottle and label the particular spirits covered by the form under such name or style. Upon approval of the form in the usual manner, he may so bottle and label the particular spirits covered by Form 230 or Form 237, as the case may be, without filing an amended notice on Form 27–B or changing the name under which the rectifying plant is then qualified and operated.

§ 190.903 *Marking packages and cases.* The packages or cases will be marked under the individual or corporate name or trade name or style in which the rectifying plant is being operated: *Provided,* That where spirits are rectified pursuant to § 190.900a, or are bottled pursuant to § 190.900b, under a trade name other than that in which the rectifying plant is qualified and operated, such trade name may be marked on the packages or cases as the name of the rectifier or bottler, as the case may be, in lieu of the name in which the rectifying plant is being operated: *Provided further* That where such spirits are bottled for a certain dealer they may be marked in accordance with § 190.793. The packages and cases shall be further marked in accordance with §§ 190.797 and 190.793.

§ 190.904 *Records.* Separate records on Form 45 and Form 182, will not be required for operations under a new individual or corporate name or under each trade name or style. The rectifier shall, however, note on Form 45 the individual or corporate name or the trade names or styles under which operations were conducted during the month, and the dates of operation under each. The storekeeper-gauger will make a similar notation on Form 182. In the case of spirits rectified pursuant to the provisions of § 190.900a, or bottled pursuant to § 190.900b, the proprietor will show on Form 45 the trade name under which the particular spirits were rectified or bottled.

2. The purpose of these amendments is to authorize the rectification (concurrently with regular operations) of particular spirits under a trade name or names other than the name under which the rectifying plant is then qualified and operated, in lieu of filing an amended notice on Form 27–B, "Notice by Rectifier," and changing the name under which the rectifying plant is qualified and operated.

3. It is found that compliance with the notice, public rule making procedure, and effective date requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U. S. C. 1001, et seq.) is unnecessary in connection with the issuance of these regulations for the reason that the changes made are of a liberalizing character.

4. This Treasury decision shall be effective upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(53 Stat. 375; 26 U. S. C. 3176)

[SEAL] T. COLEMAN ANDREWS,
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: February 26, 1953.

ELBERT P. TUTTLE,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 53–1925; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

TITLE 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter I—Production and Marketing Administration (Standards, Inspections, Marketing Practices), Department of Agriculture

PART 52—PROCESSED FRUITS AND VEGETABLES, PROCESSED PRODUCTS THEREOF, AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS

SUBPART B—UNITED STATES STANDARDS

GRADES OF CANNED MUSHROOMS; CORRECTION

In F. R. Doc. 52-13344, appearing on page 11428 of the issue for Thursday, December 18, 1952, the following correction has been made:

In § 52.452, subdivision (i) of subparagraph (1) in paragraph (i) *Tolerance for certification of officially drawn samples* has been corrected to read as follows:

(i) Not more than one-sixth of the containers comprising the sample fails to meet all the requirements of the grade indicated by the average of such total scores, and with respect to such containers which fail to meet the requirements of the indicated grade by reason of a limiting rule, the average score of all containers in the sample for the factor, subject to such limiting rule, must be within the range for the grade indicated;

(Sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1087, Pub. Law 451, 82d Cong.; 7 U. S. C. 1624)

Done at Washington, D. C., this 26th day of February 1953.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. DICE,
Deputy Assistant Administrator Production and Marketing Administration.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1943; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]

PART 52—PROCESSED FRUITS AND VEGETABLES, PROCESSED PRODUCTS THEREOF, AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS

SUBPART B—UNITED STATES STANDARDS[1]

GRADES OF FROZEN SQUASH (SUMMER TYPE)

A notice of proposed rule making was published on December 20, 1952, in the FEDERAL REGISTER (17 F. R. 11667) regarding proposed United States Standards for Grades of Frozen Squash (Summer Type) After considering all relevant matters presented, including the proposals set forth in the aforesaid notice, the following United States Standards for Grades of Frozen Squash (Summer Type) are hereby promulgated under the authority contained in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 1087; 7 U. S. C. 1621, et seq.) and the Department of Agriculture Appropriation Act, 1953 (Pub. Law 451, 82d Cong., approved July 5, 1952)

§ 52.651 *Frozen summer squash*—(a) *Frozen squash.* "Frozen squash" is the fresh, sound, immature product from the squash (summer type) plant hereinafter called frozen squash which has been properly prepared and properly blanched, and is then frozen in accordance with good commercial practice and maintained at temperatures necessary for the preservation of the product.

(b) *Styles of frozen squash.* (1) Sliced.

(2) Cut.

(c) *Grades of frozen summer squash.* (1) "U. S. Grade A" or "U. S. Fancy" is the quality of frozen squash that possesses similar varietal characteristics; that possesses a good flavor and odor; that possesses a good color; that is practically free from defects; that possesses a good character; and that scores not less than 85 points when scored in accordance with the scoring system outlined in this section.

(2) "U. S. Grade B" or "U. S. Extra Standard" is the quality of frozen squash that possesses similar varietal characteristics; that possesses a reasonably good flavor and odor; that possesses a reasonably good color; that is reasonably free from defects; that possesses a reasonably good character; and that scores not less than 70 points when scored in accordance with the scoring system outlined in this section.

(3) "Substandard" is the quality of frozen squash that fails to meet the requirements of U. S. Grade B or U. S. Extra Standard.

(d) *Ascertaining the grade.* (1) The grade of frozen squash may be ascertained by considering, in conjunction with the requirements of the respective grade, the respective ratings for the factors of color, absence of defects, and character.

(2) The relative importance of each scoring factor is expressed numerically on the scale of 100. The maximum number of points that may be given each factor is:

Factors:	Points
(i) Color	20
(ii) Absence of defects	40
(iii) Character	40
Total score	100

(3) The scores for the factors of color, absence of defects, and character are determined immediately after thawing so that the product is sufficiently free from ice crystals to permit proper handling as individual units, and a representative sample of the product is cooked to ascertain tenderness of the frozen squash before final evaluation of the score for character. Flavor and odor are also ascertained on the cooked product.

(4) "Good flavor and odor" means that the product after cooking has a good, characteristic normal flavor and odor and is free from objectionable flavors and objectionable odors of any kind.

(5) "Reasonably good flavor and odor" means that the product after cooking may be lacking in good flavor and odor but is free from objectionable flavors and objectionable odors of any kind.

(e) *Ascertaining the ratings for the factors which are scored.* The essential variations within each factor which is scored are so described that the value may be ascertained for each factor and expressed numerically. The numerical range within each factor which is scored is inclusive (for example, "17 to 20 points" means 17, 18, 19, or 20 points)

(1) *Color* (i) Frozen squash that possesses a good color may be given a score of 17 to 20 points. "Good color" means that the color of the squash is bright and typical of young and tender squash of similar varietal characteristics which has been properly processed.

(ii) If the frozen squash possesses a reasonably good color, a score of 14 to 16 points may be given. Frozen squash that falls into this classification shall not be graded above U. S. Grade B or U. S. Extra Standard, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule) "Reasonably good color" means that the color of the squash is typical of reasonably young and tender squash of similar varietal characteristics which has been properly processed.

(iii) Frozen squash that fails to meet the requirements of subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph may be given a score of 0 to 13 points and shall not be graded above Substandard, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule)

(2) *Absence of defects.* (i) The factor of absence of defects refers to the degree of freedom from harmless extraneous vegetable material, sand, grit, or silt, poorly cut units, units damaged by mechanical injury, and units damaged by discoloration, scars, insect injury, or damaged by other means.

(*a*) "Unit" means a whole squash or a portion of a squash.

(*b*) "Damaged unit" means any unit damaged by discoloration, scars, insect injury, or by any other means except damaged by mechanical injury to the extent that the appearance or eating quality of the product is materially affected.

(*c*) "Seriously damaged" means damaged to the extent that the appearance or eating quality of the unit is seriously affected.

(*d*) "Harmless extraneous vegetable material" means leaves, detached stems or portions thereof, or other similar vegetable material.

(*e*) "Poorly cut" means units with attached stems or stem material, very ragged units, or pieces of less than ½ slice in sliced style squash.

(*f*) "Damaged by mechanical injury" means broken or mashed to such an extent that the appearance or eating quality of the unit is seriously affected.

(*g*) "Sand, grit, or silt" means any particle of earthy material.

(ii) Frozen squash that is practically free from defects may be given a score of 34 to 40 points. "Practically free from defects" means that the product contains no grit, sand, or silt that affects the eating quality or appearance of the frozen squash; that for each 12 ounces of units there may be present not more than one piece of harmless extraneous vegetable material. The combined weight of all other defects and defective units must not exceed 8 percent of the weight of the units: *Provided*, That

(*a*) Not more than 4 percent, by weight, is of damaged units and of such

[1] The requirements of these standards shall not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

4 percent, not more than one-fourth thereof or 1 percent, by weight, of all the units consist of units that are seriously damaged; or

(b) Not more than 6 percent, by weight, is of poorly cut units, or of units damaged by mechanical injury, or any combination thereof of not more than 6 percent, by weight

(iii) If the frozen squash is reasonably free from defects, a score of 28 to 33 points may be given Frozen squash that falls into this classification shall not be graded above U S Grade B or U S Extra Standard, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule) "Reasonably free from defects" means that the product may contain a trace of sand, grit, or silt that does not materially affect the eating quality or appearance of the frozen squash; that for each 12 ounces of units there may be present not more than two pieces of harmless extraneous vegetable material The combined weight of all other defects and defective units must not exceed 12 percent of the weight of the units: *Provided,* That

(a) Not more than 8 percent, by weight, is of damaged units and of such 8 percent, not more than one-fourth thereof or 2 percent, by weight, of all the units consist of units that are seriously damaged

(b) Not more than 10 percent, by weight, is of poorly cut units, or of units damaged by mechanical injury, or any combination thereof or not more than 10 percent, by weight

(iv) Frozen squash that fails to meet the requirements of subdivision (iii) of this subparagraph may be given a score of 0 to 27 points and shall not be graded above Substandard, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule)

(3) *Character* (i) The factor of character refers to the fleshy texture, the tenderness, and the degree of development of the seeds

(ii) Frozen squash that possesses a good character may be given a score of 34 to 40 points "Good character" means that the units are practically intact, are fleshy and tender, that the seeds are in the immature stage, and that not more than 5 percent, by weight, of the units may be of reasonably good character

(iii) If the frozen squash possesses a reasonably good character, a score of 28 to 33 points may be given Frozen squash that falls into this classification shall not be graded above U S Grade B or U S Extra Standard, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule) "Reasonably good character" means that the units may show slight disintegration, may have lost to a considerable extent their fleshy texture, may be reasonably tender, and that the seeds may have passed the immature stage of maturity, but are not hard and that not more than 10 percent, by weight, of the units fails to meet the requirements for reasonably good character

(iv) Frozen squash that fails to meet the requirements of subdivision (iii) of this subparagraph may be given a score of 0 to 27 points and shall not be graded above Substandard, regardless of the total score for the product (this is a limiting rule)

(f) *Tolerance for certification of officially drawn samples* (1) When certifying samples that have been officially drawn and which represent a specific lot of frozen squash, the grade for such lot will be determined by averaging the total score for all containers, if:

(i) Not more than one-sixth of the containers comprising the sample fails to meet all the requirements of the grade indicated by the average of such total scores and with respect to such containers which fail to meet the requirements of the indicated grade by reason of a limiting rule, the average score of all containers in the sample for the factor, subject to such limiting rule, must be within the range for the grade indicated;

(ii) None of the containers comprising the sample falls more than 4 points below the minimum score for the grade indicated by the average of the total scores; and

(iii) All containers comprising the sample meet all applicable standards of quality promulgated under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and in effect at the time of the aforesaid certification

(g) *Score sheet for frozen squash (summer type)*

Number, size and kind of container Container marks or identification Label..... Net weight (ounces) Style (sliced cut)		
Factors	Score points	
I Color	20	(A) 17-20 (B) [1] 14-16 (SStd) [1] 0-13
II Absence of defects	40	(A) 34-40 (B) [1] 28-33 (SStd) [1] 0-27
III Character	40	(A) 34-40 (B) [1] 28-33 (SStd) [1] 0 27
Total score	100	
Flavor and odor Grade		

[1] Indicates limiting rule

(h) *Effective time* The United States Standards for grades of frozen squash (summer type) (which are the first issue) contained in this section will become effective thirty days after date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER

(Sec 205, 60 Stat 1087, Pub Law 451, 82d Cong; 7 U S C 1624)

Issued at Washington, D C, this 26th day of February 1953

[SEAL] GEORGE A DICE,
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Production and Marketing Administration

[F R Doc 53-1942; Filed, Mar 2, 1953; 8:48 a m]

TITLE 15—COMMERCE AND FOREIGN TRADE

Chapter III—Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce, Department of Commerce

Subchapter C—Office of International Trade

[6th Gen Rev of Export Regs, Amdt 34]

PART 373—LICENSING POLICIES AND RELATED SPECIAL PROVISIONS

TIME SCHEDULES FOR SUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSES TO EXPORT CERTAIN COMMODITIES

Section 373 51 *Supplement 1: Time schedules for submission of applications for licenses to export certain Positive List commodities* is amended in the following particulars:

The following entries and related submission dates are deleted:

Dept. of Commerce Schedule B No	Commodity	Submission dates	
		Fourth quarter 1952	First quarter 1953
619399	Other nickel manufactures, n e c	Sept. 1-Sept. 15, 1952	
	Tin and manufactures:		
619909	Tin welding rods and wires (include solder)	do	Dec 1-Dec. 15, 1952
619929	Tin metal powders		
619959	Tin shot; tin rings; and tin collap ible tubes		
619991	Tin alloy scrap (new and old) (including babbitt metal dross and scrap)	do	
619991	Tin ore		
619997	Tin metal in ingots, pigs, bars, blocks, anodes, cathodes, slabs, and other crude forms.	do	Do
619999	Tin pipe, plates, sheets, tubes, and other semi fabricated forms.		
631617	Babbitt metal (except scrap and dross)	do	Do

The entry for "Controlled Materials" for the Second Quarter 1953 is amended to read as set forth below and the related submission dates for the Third Quarter 1953 are added:

Commodity	Submission dates
Commodities designated 'C': Commodities with processing code, SPEE, carbon and stainless steel only Commodities with processing code NONF Commodities with processing code TNPL: Specification reduction plate	Mar 2 Mar 31, 1953

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7; 65 Stat. 43; 50 U. S. C. App. Sup. 2023. E. O. 9630, Sept. 27, 1945, 10 F. R. 12245, 3 CFR 1945 Supp., E. O. 9919, Jan. 3, 1948, 13 F. R. 59, 3 CFR 1948 Supp.)

This amendment shall become effective as of March 2, 1953.

LORING K. MACY,
Director Office of International Trade.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1978; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:52 a. m.]

TITLE 32A—NATIONAL DEFENSE, APPENDIX

Chapter VI—National Production Authority, Department of Commerce

[NPA Order M-22, Revocation]

M-22—DISTRIBUTION AND USE OF ALUMINUM SCRAP

REVOCATION

NPA Order M-22 (17 F R. 8545) is hereby revoked.

This revocation does not relieve any person of any obligation or liability incurred under NPA Order M-22, as originally issued or as thereafter amended from time to time, nor deprive any person of any rights received or accrued under said order prior to the effective date of this revocation.

(64 Stat. 816, Pub. Law 429, 82d Cong., 50 U. S. C. App. Sup. 2154)

This revocation shall take effect March 2, 1953.

NATIONAL PRODUCTION AUTHORITY,
By GEORGE W AUXIER,
Executive Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-2013; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 11:04 a. m.]

TITLE 38—PENSIONS, BONUSES, AND VETERANS' RELIEF

Chapter I—Veterans' Administration

PART 6—UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT LIFE INSURANCE

PART 8—NATIONAL SERVICE LIFE INSURANCE

PAYMENT OF INSURANCE PREMIUMS BY MAIL, CORRECTION OF ERRORS

1. In Part 6, § 6.17 is revised to read as follows:

§ 6.17 *Payment of insurance premiums by mail.* When it appears by proof satisfactory to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs that the person to whom insurance has been granted under the War Risk Insurance Act or the World War Veterans' Act, 1924, as amended, or any person acting on his behalf, has deposited in the mail within the grace period allowed by regulation for payment of a premium, an envelope properly addressed to the Veterans' Administration, Washington 25, D. C., or any field station of the Veterans' Administration, containing money, check, draft, or money order, in payment of the premium, such insurance will not lapse for nonpayment of such premium within the grace period: *Provided,* That any such check or draft is paid on presentation for payment or the conditions of § 6.17a are met.

2. A new § 6.17a is added as follows:

§ 6.17a *Correction of errors.* (a) Where timely tender of the required premium is made by check or draft which is not paid on presentation for payment, but it is shown by evidence satisfactory to the Administrator that such nonpayment was due to an error on the part of the bank on which such check or draft was drawn, or was the result of an error in the instrument, and not for lack of funds, the insured will be given an additional period of 31 days from the date of letter notifying him of such nonpayment, in which to tender an amount sufficient to pay all premiums through the current month.

(b) Where timely tender of the required premium is made by check or draft which may not be presented for payment because of an error in execution thereof, but it is shown by evidence satisfactory to the Administrator that the remitter had sufficient funds in the bank on which such check or draft was drawn which would have enabled the bank to make payment had it been properly executed and presented, the insured will be given an additional period of 31 days from the date of letter notifying him of such error, in which to tender an amount sufficient to pay all premiums through the current month.

(Sec. 5, 43 Stat. 608, as amended, sec. 2, 46 Stat. 1016, sec. 7, 48 Stat. 9, sec. 6, Pub. Law 23, 82d Cong., 38 U. S. C. 11a, 426, 707. Interpret or apply secs. 300, 301, 43 Stat. 624, as amended; 38 U. S. C. 511, 512)

3. In Part 8, § 8.7 is revised to read as follows:

§ 8.7 *Payment of insurance premiums by mail.* When it appears by proof satisfactory to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs that the person to whom insurance has been granted under the National Service Life Insurance Act of 1940, as amended, or any person acting on his behalf, has deposited in the mail within the grace period allowed by regulation for payment of a premium, an envelope properly addressed to the Veterans' Administration, Washington 25, D. C., or any field station of the Veterans' Administration, containing money, check, draft, or money order, in payment of a premium, such insurance will not lapse for nonpayment of such premium within the grace period: *Provided,* That any such check or draft is paid on presentation for payment or the conditions of § 8.7a are met.

4. A new § 8.7a is added as follows:

§ 8.7a *Correction of errors.* (a) Where timely tender of the required premium is made by check or draft which is not paid on presentation for payment, but it is shown by evidence satisfactory to the Administrator that such nonpayment was due to an error on the part of the bank on which such check or draft was drawn, or was the result of an error in the instrument, and not for lack of funds, the insured will be given an additional period of 31 days from the date of letter notifying him of such nonpayment, in which to tender an amount sufficient to pay all premiums through the current month.

(b) Where timely tender of the required premium is made by check or draft which may not be presented for payment because of an error in execution thereof, but it is shown by evidence satisfactory to the Administrator that the remitter had sufficient funds in the bank on which such check or draft was drawn which would have enabled the bank to make payment had it been properly executed and presented, the insured will be given an additional period of 31 days from the date of letter notifying him of such error, in which to tender an amount sufficient to pay all premiums through the current month.

(Sec. 608, 54 Stat. 1012, as amended, sec. 6, Pub. Law 23, 82d Cong., 38 U. S. C. 808. Interpret or apply sec. 602, 54 Stat. 1009, as amended; 38 U. S. C. 802)

This regulation is effective March 3, 1953.

[SEAL] H. V STIRLING,
Deputy Administrator

[F. R. Doc. 53-1928; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

PART 36—SERVICEMEN'S READJUSTMENT ACT OF 1944

SUBPART A—TITLE III, LOAN GUARANTY

SALE OF SECURITY

In § 36.4320, paragraph (h) (7) is amended to read as follows:

§ 36.4320 *Sale of security.* * * *
(h) * * *

(7) As between the holder and the Administrator, the responsibility for any loss due to damage to or destruction of the property or due to personal injury sustained in respect to such property shall be governed by the provisions of this subparagraph. Ordinary wear and tear excepted, the holder shall bear such risk of loss from the date of acquisition by the holder to the date such risk of loss is assumed by the Administrator. Such risk of loss is assumed by the Administrator from the date of his receipt of the holder's election to convey or transfer the property to him, or, in the event of receipt of notice of such election prior to acquisition, from the date of the Administrator's receipt of notice of acquisition by the holder: *Provided,* That if custody over the property has not been delivered by the holder to the Administrator on the date when he otherwise would have assumed the risk of loss, his assumption of the risk of loss will be deferred until such custody over the property is delivered, or until the property has been conveyed or transferred to him. The amount of any loss chargeable to the holder may be deducted from the amount payable by the Administrator at the time the property is transferred. In any case wherein pursuant to the Veterans' Administration Regulations rejection of the title is legally proper, the Administrator may surrender custody of the property as of the date specified in his notice thereof to the holder. The Administrator's as-

sumption of such risk shall terminate upon such surrender.

(Sec. 504, 58 Stat. 293, as amended; 38 U. S. C. 694d)

This regulation is effective March 3, 1953.

[SEAL] H. V. STIRLING,
Deputy Administrator

[F. R. Doc. 53-1929; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

TITLE 49—TRANSPORTATION

Chapter I—Interstate Commerce Commission

[Rev. S. O. 867, Amdt. 9]

PART 95—CAR SERVICE

RESTRICTIONS ON TRAP AND FERRY CARS

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 3, held at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 25th day of February A. D. 1953.

Upon further consideration of Revised Service Order No. 867 (15 F R. 6199, 6313, 6573; 16 F. R. 2895, 6184, 12096; 17 F. R. 1857, 4949, 7945, 10737) and good cause appearing therefor: It is ordered, that:

Section 95.867 *Restrictions on trap and ferry cars* of Revised Service Order No. 867 be, and it is hereby further amended by substituting the following paragraph (e) for paragraph (e) thereof:

(e) *Expiration date.* This section shall expire at 11:59 p. m., May 31, 1953, unless otherwise modified, changed, suspended, or annulled by order of this Commission.

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p. m., February 28, 1953.

It is further ordered, that a copy of this amendment and direction be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and per diem agreement under the terms of that agreement; and that notice of this order be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D. C., and by filing it with the Director, Division of the Federal Register.

(Sec. 12, 24 Stat. 383, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 12. Interprets or applies sec. 1, 24 Stat. 379, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 1)

By the Commission, Division 3.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1917; Filed, Feb. 27, 1953; 1:15 p. m.]

[Corr. S. O. 870, Amdt. 8]

PART 95—CAR SERVICE

FREE TIME ON FREIGHT CARS LOADED AT PORTS

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 3, held at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 25th day of February A. D. 1953.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 870 (15 F. R. 8994, 9065; 16 F R. 2895, 6843, 10995; 17 F. R. 1857, 4949, 7945, 10737), and good cause appearing therefor: It is ordered, that:

Section 95.870 *Free time on freight cars loaded at ports* of Service Order No. 870, be, and it is hereby further amended by substituting the following paragraph (f) for paragraph (f) thereof:

(f) *Expiration date.* This section shall expire at 11:59 p. m., May 31, 1953, unless otherwise modified, changed, suspended or annulled by order of this Commission.

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p. m., February 28, 1953.

It is further ordered, that a copy of this amendment and direction be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and per diem agreement under the terms of that agreement; and that notice of this order be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D. C., and by filing it with the Director, Division of the Federal Register.

(Sec. 12, 24 Stat. 383, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 12. Interprets or applies sec. 1, 24 Stat. 379, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 1)

By the Commission, Division 3.

[SEAL] GEORGE W LAIRD,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1916; Filed, Feb. 27, 1953; 1:15 p. m.]

[Corr. S. O. 871, Amdt. 9]

PART 95—CAR SERVICE

FREE TIME ON UNLOADING BOX CARS AT PORTS

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 3, held at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 25th day of February A. D. 1953.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 871 (15 F R. 8995, 9066; 16 F R. 2895, 6843, 10750, 10995; 17 F. R. 1858, 4949, 7946, 10737) and good cause appearing therefor: It is ordered, that:

Section 95.871 *Free time on unloading box cars at ports* of Service Order No. 871 be, and it is hereby further amended by substituting the following paragraph (g) for paragraph (g) thereof:

(g) *Expiration date.* This section shall expire at 11:59 p. m., May 31, 1953, unless otherwise modified, changed, suspended, or annulled by order of this Commission.

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p. m., February 28, 1953.

It is further ordered, that a copy of this amendment and direction be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and per diem agreement under the terms of that agreement; and that notice of this order be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D. C., and by filing it with the Director, Division of the Federal Register.

(Sec. 12, 24 Stat. 383, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 12. Interprets or applies sec. 1, 24 Stat. 379, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 1)

By the Commission, Division 3.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1918; Filed, Feb. 27, 1953; 1:15 p. m.]

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 1]

[Docket No. 10409]

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

FILING OF CONTRACTS, BROADCAST LICENSEES AND PERMITTEES

In the matter of amendment of § 1.342 of the Commission's rules; Docket No. 10409.

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. Section 1.342 of the Commission's rules provides as follows:

§ 1.342 *Filing of contracts, broadcast licensees and permittees.* Each licensee or permittee of a broadcast station (standard, FM, television, and international) shall file with the Commission within 30 days of execution thereof verified copies of all documents, instruments, contracts (the substance of oral contracts or understanding shall be reported in writing) together with amendments, supplements, and changes therein and cancellations thereof relating to ownership, management, or control of licensee or permittee of station, or of any of licensee's or permittee's stock, rights, or interests therein; the use, management, or operation of licensed facilities; and agreements relating to network service, transcription service, or bulk time sales (amounting to 2 hours or more per day) including but not limited to (a) articles of partnership, association, or incorporation; (b) bylaws affecting character of organization, control, number, or powers of its officers or directors, the classification or voting rights of any stock; (c) any document, instrument, or contract relating to or affecting ownership of licensee or permittee, rights or interests therein, its stock, or voting rights thereto; (d) management contracts, transcription network contracts, and time sales to brokers.

3. The purpose of § 1.342 is to specify those documents, instruments, and contracts relating to ownership, management, operation and control of stations

which broadcast licensees and permittees are required to file with the Commission in order that the Commission might obtain full information with respect to such matters. The Commission's experience in the administration of the rule, however, indicates that the present provisions are ambiguous with respect to the documents, instruments, and contracts that must be filed pursuant to the rule. Experience in the administration of the rule also indicates that it is not necessary that some of the documents, instruments and contracts now covered by the rule be filed with the Commission.

4. In light of the foregoing, the Commission proposes to amend § 1.342 to limit the documents, instruments and contracts required to be filed with the Commission by broadcast licensees and permittees and in order to resolve the ambiguities resulting from the present provisions of the rule. The Commission proposes that § 1.342 be amended to require the filing with the Commission of only the following:

(a) Documents, instruments or contracts relating to network service.

(1) This provision is intended to keep the Commission informed of compliance with its rules relating to chain broadcasting.

(2) Under this provision the filing of the following would not be required: Transcription agreements, contracts for the supplying of film for TV stations, contracts granting licensees the right to broadcast music such as BNI, SESAC or ASCAP agreements, contracts with news associations, and similar agreements. This provision would require the filing of only network affiliation agreements.

(b) Documents, instruments or contracts relating to the ownership or control of the licensee or permittee, or of the licensee's or permittee's stock, rights or interests therein, or relating to changes in such ownership or control.

(1) This provision is intended to keep the Commission fully informed with respect to the ownership and control of its broadcast licensees and permittees and changes in such ownership and control, and to enable the Commission to be advised of compliance with its rules relating to these matters.

(2) This provision requires the filing of agreements relating to the ownership and control of licensees and permittees, or changes in such ownership and control, and is limited to the following:

(i) Articles of partnership, association, or incorporation and changes in such instruments.

(ii) Bylaws affecting the charter of organization, control, number or powers of its officers or directors, or the classification or voting rights of any stock, and any instruments affecting changes in such bylaws.

(iii) Any agreement, direct or indirect, affecting the ownership, or voting rights of licensee's or permittee's stock, such as agreements for (*a*) a transfer of stock, (*b*) issuance of new stock, (*c*) acquisition of licensee's or permittee's stock by the issuing licensee corporation.

(iv) Proxies with respect to the licensee's or permittee's stock running for a period in excess of one year. As to proxies given without full and detailed instructions binding the recipient to act in a specified manner, a report showing the number of such proxies, by whom given and received, and the percentage of outstanding stock represented by each proxy shall be submitted by the licensee or permittee within 30 days after the stockholders' meeting in question.

(v) Mortgage or loan agreements containing provisions restricting the licensee's freedom of operation, such as those specifying or limiting the amount of dividends payable, the purchase of new equipment, the maintenance of current assets, etc.

(vi) Any agreement reflecting a change in the officers, directors, or stockholders of a corporation other than the licensee or permittee having an interest, direct or indirect, in the licensee or permittee as specified in § 1.343.

Agreements excepted from filing under the provisions of § 1.343 are similarly excepted here.

The term "stock" includes any interest, legal or beneficial in, or right or privilege in connection with stock. The terms "officer" and "director" include the comparable officials of unincorporated associations. "Documents, instruments or contracts" include any agreement (including, without limitation, trusts or executory agreements such as an option or a pledge) or any modification thereof, express or implied, oral or written.

(c) Documents, instruments of contracts relating to the sale of broadcast time for resale.

(1) The purpose of this provision is to keep the Commission advised of "time brokerage" agreements entered into by its licensees and permittees.

(d) Contracts relating to functional music operations such as "storecasting," "transitcasting," and "background music," and similar services.

(1) The purpose of this provision is to keep the Commission advised of the various functional music operations carried on by its licensees and permittees.

(2) This provision relates to the following and similar services:

Storecasting. (Arrangements whereby programs originating in the broadcast studio are designed for and picked up by fixed frequency receivers installed at stores.)

Transitcasting. (Same as storecasting except that programs are designed to reach transit passengers in public vehicles, the receivers being installed in such vehicles.)

Background music services. (Arrangements whereby broadcasters undertake to supply programs of a background nature to commercial or industrial establishments such as factories, restaurants, barber shops, etc.)

This provision does not require the filing of contracts granting functional music licensees the right to broadcast copyrighted music.

(e) Time sales contracts with the same sponsor for 2 hours or more per day, unless the length of the events broadcast pursuant to the contract is not under control of the station, e. g., athletic contests, musical programs and special events.

(1) This provision is intended to keep the Commission advised with respect to certain "bulk time sales" agreements entered into by its licensees and permittees.

(2) This provision would not require the filing of agreements entered into with sponsors for the broadcasting of baseball games, football games, and other athletic contests, musical programs such as concerts, operas, etc., and special events, where the length of the event being broadcast is not within the station's control, even though such programs may last for periods greater than 2 hours.

(f) Documents, instruments or contracts relating to the utilization in a management capacity of any person other than an officer, director, or regular employee of the licensee or permittee station, but also including the latter persons in all cases where such persons receive either a percentage of the net profits or share in any losses incurred in the licensee's operation.

(1) The purpose of this provision is to keep the Commission informed of agreements entered into by its licensees or permittees calling for the management of stations by anyone other than a regular employee, officer, or director of the station, and of agreements with the latter persons involving sharing in either net profits or losses of the licensee's operation.

(2) With the exception of the two situations set out, this provision would not require the filing of agreements with persons regularly employed as general or station manager, agreements with sales managers or salesmen, contracts with program managers and program personnel, contracts with chief engineers and other personnel in the engineering department, agreements with radio consulting engineers, accountants, attorneys, contracts with performers, station representative agreements, contracts with labor unions, and any similar agreements. It does require the filing of all management consultant agreements with independent contractors.

5. It is further proposed to amend the section so as to not require the verification of documents, instruments and contracts required to be filed thereunder.

6. Authority for the adoption of the proposed amendments is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (i), (j), (n) and (r) 309 (d), 310 and 312 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

7. Any interested party who is of the opinion that the proposed amendment should not be adopted or should not be adopted as proposed herein may file with the Commission on or before March 20, 1953, a written statement or brief setting forth his comments. Comments in support of the proposed amendments may also be filed on or before the same date. Comments or briefs in reply to the original comments or briefs may be

filed within 10 days from the last day for filing said original comments or briefs. The Commission will consider all such comments that are submitted before taking action in this matter, and if any comments appear to warrant the holding of a hearing or oral argument, notice of the time and place of such hearing or argument will be given.

8. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.784 of the Commission's rule and regulations, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs or comments shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: February 18, 1953.

Released: February 19, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1945; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[464.151]

ONION POWDER

PROSPECTIVE TARIFF CLASSIFICATION

FEBRUARY 26, 1953.

It appears probable that onion powder is properly classifiable under the provision in paragraph 775, Tariff Act of 1930, for vegetables reduced to flour, not specially provided for, at a rate of duty higher than that heretofore assessed under an established and uniform practice.

Pursuant to § 16.10a (d) Customs Regulations of 1943, as amended, notice is hereby given that the existing uniform practice of classifying such merchandise as a spice under paragraph 781, Tariff Act of 1930, is under review in the Bureau of Customs.

Consideration will be given to any relevant data, views, or arguments pertaining to the correct classification of this merchandise which are submitted in writing to the Bureau of Customs, Washington 25, D. C. To assure consideration, such communications must be received in the Bureau not later than 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. No hearings will be held.

[SEAL] D. B. STRUBINGER,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1927; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

SECRETARIES OF ARMY, NAVY, AND AIR FORCE

REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY WITH RESPECT TO ENFORCING COMPLIANCE WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF PROPERTY MADE FOR USE OF CIVILIAN COMPONENTS OF THE ARMED FORCES

1. Pursuant to paragraph 2 of delegation of authority from the Administrator of the General Services Administration dated December 15, 1952, entitled "Authorizing the Secretary of Defense to Enforce Compliance with the Terms and Conditions of Certain Transfers of Property Made for Use of the Civilian Components of the Armed Forces" and in accordance with section 202 (f) of the National Security Act, as amended, such authority as is vested in me by the aforesaid Delegation of Authority to exercise the following authority in the case of property transferred pursuant to the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (Public Law 152, 81st Congress), as amended, to States, political sub-divisions and tax-supported instrumentalities thereof for use in the training and maintenance of civilian components of the armed forces, and subject to the disapproval of the Administrator of the General Services Administration within 30 days after notice to him of any action to be taken hereunder, is hereby redelegated to the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Air Force, respectively:

a. To determine and enforce compliance with the terms, conditions, reservations and restrictions contained in any instrument by which such transfer was made;

b. To reform, correct or amend any such instrument by the execution of a corrective, reformative or amendatory instrument where necessary to correct such instrument or to conform such transfer to the requirements of applicable law; and

c. To (1) grant releases from any of the terms, conditions, reservations and restrictions contained in and (2) convey, quitclaim or release to the transferee or other eligible user any right or interest reserved to the United States by, any instrument by which such transfer was made, if he determines that the property so transferred no longer serves the purpose for which it was transferred, or that such release, conveyance or quitclaim deed will not prevent accomplishment of the purpose for which such property was so transferred: Provided, That any such release, conveyance or quitclaim deed may be granted on, or made subject to, such terms and conditions as he shall deem necessary to protect or advance the interests of the United States.

2. The Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Air Force are hereby respectively authorized to make such further delegation of this authority as they may deem necessary.

3. This redelegation of authority shall be effective as of July 1, 1949.

C. E. WILSON,
Secretary of Defense.

FEBRUARY 19, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1903; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:45 a. m.]

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

DEPUTY POSTMASTER GENERAL AND ASSISTANT POSTMASTER GENERAL OF BUREAU OF FACILITIES

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY WITH RESPECT TO LEASES

The following is the text of Order No. 55008, of the Postmaster General, dated February 17, 1953, delegating authority to certain officers of the Post Office Department to take final action with respect to leases:

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by section 1 (b) of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1949 (63 Stat. 1066) authority is hereby delegated to:

(1) The Deputy Postmaster General to accept proposals and sign leases, in his own name, where the rental involved is $10,000 or more per annum; and

(2) The Assistant Postmaster General in charge of the Bureau of Facilities (including the Acting Assistant Postmaster General in charge of the Bureau of Facilities) to accept proposals and sign leases, in his own name, where the rental involved is less than $10,000 per annum.

[SEAL] LOUIS J. DOYLE,
Acting Solicitor.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1912; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:46 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Production and Marketing Administration

HANDLING OF MILK IN NEW YORK METROPOLITAN MILK MARKETING AREA

DETERMINATION THAT A HEARING SHOULD NOT BE HELD

Provisions of the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the New York metropolitan milk marketing area (7 CFR Part 927) require that whenever there is a difference, for each of 3 consecutive months, of more than 6 points between the index of cost of production and the index of wholesale prices or of more than 15 points between the index of cost of production and the index of the Class I-A price, a public hearing shall be called to consider those and other economic conditions, or announcement of a determination that such a hearing should not be held together with reasons for such a determination.

For each of the months of October, November and December 1952, the index of the cost of production has been higher than the index of wholesale prices by 8.5, 8.0 and 9.0 points respectively, and also higher than the index of the Class I-A price by 19 points, thus constituting cir-

cumstances requiring either the calling of a public hearing or a determination to the contrary. In addition, petitions recently have been submitted by organizations of producers for a public hearing on their proposals to establish Class I–A floor prices for the next few months higher than those estimated to result from operation of the Class I–A pricing formula.

The supply of pool milk produced for the market in relation to fluid milk sales has increased rather materially in recent months from a level which beginning in 1949 has been running somewhat larger than for several years prior to 1949. In each of the months, except two (June and July) during the period December 1951 through December 1952, the volume of pool milk in excess of fluid milk requirements has been larger than in the corresponding month a year earlier. The increase in supply relative to sales of fluid milk has been particularly pronounced since October 1952, when with total production running about 12 percent higher than a year ago and with fluid milk sales substantially the same, the percentage of the supply used for fluid milk is lower than for corresponding months in any of the past 11 years. Fluid milk requirements during November and December 1952, normally the months of lowest seasonal production, were only 64.4 and 57.7 percent respectively of the total supply.

The average of the monthly percentages of pool milk used for fluid milk dropped to 52.7 for the year 1952 compared to about 54 percent for each of the years 1949, 1950 and 1951 and to 63.6 percent in 1948. Thus, from 1948 to 1952 the percentage declined 10.9 points (63.6 minus 52.7) a decline of about 17 percent. The current (November and December) relationship of supply to sales, as used in computation of the Class I–A price for February, is equivalent to an estimated fluid utilization on an annual basis of only 49.8 percent, a level of 13.8 points or nearly 22 percent lower than for the year 1948. Such supply-demand relationships cannot reasonably be ignored in fixing fluid milk prices consistent with the standards prescribed in the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act.

The pricing formula contained in the order for Class I–A milk is so constituted (through operation of the utilization adjustment percentage) that any trend toward a reduced supply in relation to sales of fluid milk will be reflected promptly in the level of the Class I–A price.

The reduction which has occurred during the past year in the level of the Class I–A price does not appear to be out of proportion to the decline in the general level of prices paid for milk for dairy products. Using in each case the latest month for which prices are known, the Class I–A price has declined during the past year 9.3 percent while the prices paid to producers at Midwest condenseries have declined 8.8 percent.

Petitioners for a public hearing on proposals to establish Class I–A prices higher than those resulting from operation of the pricing formula contained in the order emphasized the reduced return to milk producers resulting from lower Class I–A prices.

For the reasons indicated it is concluded that the Class I–A prices currently prevailing and those in prospect, at least for the immediate future, through operation of the pricing formula now contained in the order are prices which properly reflect the economic conditions affecting the supply of and the demand for milk in the New York metropolitan milk marketing area in accordance with the standards of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act.

Accordingly, it is hereby determined that a public hearing to consider the Class I–A pricing provisions of the order should not be held at this time.

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 26th day of February 1953.

[SEAL] EZRA TAFT BENSON,
Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 53–1944; Filed, Mar 2, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]

HUGH W FORD LIVESTOCK COMMISSION CO. ET AL.

NOTICE RELATIVE TO POSTED STOCKYARDS

Pursuant to the authority vested in me under the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U. S. C. 181 et seq.) on the respective dates specified below, it was ascertained by me that the livestock markets named below were stockyards within the definition of that term contained in section 302 of the act and were therefore subject to the act, and notice was given to the owners and to the public by posting notice at the stockyards as required by section 302.

COLORADO

Name of stockyard	*Date of posting*
Hugh W. Ford Livestock Commission Co., La Junta	Aug. 23, 1951
Jim Hoover Sales Pavilion, Sterling	Oct. 27, 1951

IDAHO

Emmett Sales Yard, Emmett	Dec. 10, 1951

KANSAS

Cloud County Livestock Commission Co., Concordia	May 7, 1952
Colby Sale Barn, Colby	Dec. 6, 1950
Gasaway Sale Co., Plainville	May 22, 1951
Medicine Lodge Sale, Medicine Lodge	May 24, 1951
Peak and Hatcher Stockyards, Emporia	Aug. 28, 1952

MONTANA

Central Montana Stockyards, Lewistown	May 17, 1951
Miles City Salesyard Co., Miles City	Nov. 9, 1951

NEBRASKA

Alma Sale Barn, Alma	Aug. 22, 1952

OKLAHOMA

B. W. Stockyards Co., Frederick	Mar. 19, 1951
Buffalo Livestock Commission Co., Buffalo	Aug. 12, 1952
Morris Commission Co., Durant	Oct. 21, 1952
Wister Livestock Auction, Wister (formerly Mut Meeks Livestock Auction)	Dec. 6, 1950

PENNSYLVANIA

Name of stockyard	*Date of posting*
New Holland Sales Stables, Inc., New Holland	Aug. 11, 1952

SOUTH DAKOTA

Canton Livestock Sales Co., Canton	Jan. 12, 1953
Mitchell Livestock Sales Co., Mitchell	Oct. 9, 1951
Newell Livestock Exchange, Inc., Newell	Oct. 10, 1951
Platte Livestock Auction Co., Platte	Oct. 13, 1951
Stockman's Auction Co., Inc., Huron	Dec. 11, 1950

WYOMING

Gillette Livestock Exchange, Gillette	May 18, 1951
Goshen Livestock Sales Commissions, Torrington	Mar. 4, 1952
Wheatland Livestock Auction Co., Wheatland	Jan. 26, 1951

Done at Washington, D. C., this 25th day of February 1953.

[SEAL] H. E. REED,
Director, Livestock Branch, Production and Marketing Administration.

[F. R. Doc. 53–1919; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Federal Maritime Board

CUNARD STEAM-SHIP CO., LTD., ET AL.

NOTICE OF AGREEMENTS FILED FOR APPROVAL

Notice is hereby given that the following described agreements have been filed with the Board for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended.

(1) Agreement No. 7882 between the Cunard Steam-Ship Company Limited and Bull Insular Line, Inc., covers the transportation of cargo on through bills of lading from the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the Virgin Islands (U. S. A.) with transhipment at New York.

(2) Agreement No. 7889 between Black Diamond Steamship Corp., and Bull Insular Line, Inc., covers the transportation of cargo under through bills of lading from Belgium, Germany and Holland to the Virgin Islands, with transhipment at New York.

(3) Agreement No. 7891 between the carriers comprising the Knutsen Line Joint Service and Waterman Steamship Corporation covering the transportation of cargo under through bills of lading from designated areas in the Far East to Puerto Rico, with transhipment at specified U. S. Pacific Coast ports.

(4) Agreement No. 7892 between Th. Brovig (Mexican Line) and Bull Insular Line, Inc., covers the transportation of cargo under through bills of lading from Mexico to Puerto Rico, with transhipment at New York.

Interested parties may inspect these agreements and obtain copies thereof at the Regulation Office, Federal Maritime Board, Washington, D. C., and may submit, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, written statements with reference to any of

the agreements and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification, together with request for hearing should such hearing be desired.

Dated: February 26, 1953.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

[SEAL] A. J. WILLIAMS, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-1897; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:45 a. m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 2901 et al.]

NORTHWEST AIRLINES, INC., ET AL., PORTLAND-SEATTLE SERVICE CASE

NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Civil Aeronautics Act of 1938, as amended, that oral argument in the above-entitled proceeding is assigned to be held on March 24, 1953 at 10:00 a. m., e. s. t., in Room 5042, Commerce Building, Constitution Avenue, between Fourteenth and Fifteenth Streets NW., Washington, D. C., before the Board.

Dated at Washington, D. C., February 26, 1953.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W BROWN, *Chief Examiner.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-1955; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:51 a. m.]

[Docket No. 5132 et al.]

AERO FINANCE CORP. ET AL., LARGE IRREGULAR AIR CARRIER INVESTIGATION

NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT WITH RESPECT TO DISMISSAL OF APPLICATIONS

In the matter of the investigation of air services by large irregular carriers and irregular transport carriers.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Civil Aeronautics Act of 1938, as amended, that oral argument with respect to the dismissal of the applications of Aero Finance Corporation, Dockets Nos. 3945, 4581, 5136 and 5137, Argonaut Airways Corporation, Docket No. 3949, Continental Charters, Inc., Dockets Nos. 3835, and 5098, and Miami Airline, Inc., Docket No. 3844, heretofore consolidated in the above-entitled proceeding, is assigned to be held on March 19, 1953, at 10:00 a. m., e. s. t., in Room 5042, Commerce Building, Constitution Avenue, between Fourteenth and Fifteenth Streets NW., Washington, D. C., before the Board.

Dated at Washington, D. C., February 26, 1953.

[SEAL] FRANCIS W. BROWN, *Chief Examiner*

[F. R. Doc. 53-1954; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:51 a. m.]

[Docket No. SA-270]

ACCIDENT OCCURRING AT LAGUARDIA FIELD, NEW YORK

NOTICE OF HEARING

In the matter of investigation of accident involving aircraft of United States registry N 91239, which occurred at LaGuardia Field, New York, on February 6, 1953.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Civil Aeronautics Act of 1938, as amended, particularly section 702 of said act, in the above-entitled proceeding that hearing is hereby assigned to be held on Thursday, March 5, 1953, at 9:00 a. m., e. s. t., in the Lexington Hotel, Forty-eighth Street and Lexington Avenue, New York, New York.

Dated at Washington, D. C., February 25, 1953.

[SEAL] EVERETT S. BOSWORTH, *Presiding Officer*

[F. R. Doc. 53-1956; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:51 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

MEDICAL DIATHERMY EQUIPMENT

DATE OF COMPLIANCE

FEBRUARY 19, 1953.

The Commission on June 25, 1952, adopted a report and order amending § 18.51 of the rules and regulations relating to Industrial, Scientific, and Medical Service to extend until June 30, 1953, the applicable date of Part 18 to medical diathermy equipment manufactured prior to July 1, 1947.

This extension appeared necessary because of the unavailability of conforming equipment to replace those machines manufactured prior to July 1, 1947, which could not be operated in accordance with the requirements of the rules.

In that report and order the Commission advised all interested parties that if further requests for extension of the applicability date were sought, such requests would be considered on an individual basis, taking into consideration the efforts made by the users of nonconforming equipment to replace it during the period for which the extension was granted.

The Commission continues to receive reports of interference caused by the operation of medical diathermy equipment. With few exceptions, the equipment responsible for the interference is equipment which was manufactured prior to July 1, 1947, and which does not comply with the requirements of Part 18, particularly with respect to the suppression of emissions outside the frequency bands allocated for medical diathermy equipment.

Information available to the Commission indicates that type approved diathermy equipment is now available for early delivery. Accordingly, all interested persons are advised that the Commission has no present intention of adopting any further general extension of the time for compliance with the applicable portions of Part 18 of the rules beyond June 30, 1953.

Adopted: February 18, 1953.

[SEAL] T. J. SLOWIE, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-1946; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:49 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 10196, 10411]

PADUCAH BROADCASTING CO. AND TULIA BROADCASTING CO.

ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATIONS FOR CONSOLIDATED HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re applications of Paducah Broadcasting Company, Paducah, Texas, Docket No. 10196, File No. BP-8203; Tulia Broadcasting Company, Tulia, Texas, Docket No. 10411, File No. BP-8595; for construction permits.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of February 1953;

The Commission having under consideration the above-entitled applications for construction permits for new standard broadcast stations to operate on 1370kc at Paducah, Texas, and Tulia, Texas, respectively;

It appearing, that each of the applicants is legally, financially and technically qualified to construct and operate its proposed station; but that the proposed operations will involve interference each with the other and may be mutually exclusive;

It further appearing, that by letters dated November 5, 1952, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the applicants were advised of the foregoing deficiencies and that the Commission was unable to conclude which of the applications, if either, should be granted; and

It further appearing, that the Tulia Broadcasting Company (BP-8595) has replied to the Commission's letter and has agreed with the Commission's finding that its application is mutually exclusive with that of Paducah Broadcasting Company (BP-8203) that the Paducah Broadcasting Company has not replied to the Commission's letter; that the Commission, after consideration of the replies is still unable to determine which, if either, of the two applications should be granted:

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the said applications are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding at a time and date to be specified in a subsequent Order upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which may be expected to gain or lose primary service from the operation of the proposed stations, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether the proposed operations would involve objectionable interference each with the other and,

if so, the areas and populations involved and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

3. To determine on a comparative basis which of the operations proposed would best serve the public interest, convenience or necessity in the light of the evidence adduced under the foregoing issues and of the record made with respect to the significant differences between the applications as to:

(a) The background and experience of each of the above-named applicants having a bearing on his ability to own and operate the proposed station.

(b) The proposals of each of the above-named applicants with respect to the management and operation of the proposed station.

(c) The programming service proposed in each of the above-mentioned applications.

FEDERAL COMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1947; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:49 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 10412, 10413]

SOUTHWEST BROADCASTING CO. AND KENEDY BROADCASTING CO., LTD.

ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATIONS FOR CONSOLIDATED HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re applications of Southwest Broadcasting Company, San Antonio, Texas, Docket No. 10412, File No. BP-8270; Kenedy Broadcasting Company, Ltd., Kenedy, Texas, Docket No. 10413, File No. BP-8578; for construction permits.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of February 1953;

The Commission having under consideration the above-entitled applications for construction permits for new standard broadcast stations to operate on 990kc, with 1kw, and 250 watts power at San Antonio and Kenedy, Texas, respectively;

It appearing, that each of the applicants is legally, financially and technically qualified to construct and operate its proposed station, but that the applications are mutually exclusive; and that the application of the Southwest Broadcasting Company San Antonio, Texas (BP-8270) may involve interference with Station KTRM, Beaumont, Texas; that the application of the Kenedy Broadcasting Company Ltd., Kenedy, Texas (BP-8578) may involve interference with Station KFRD, Rosenberg, Texas, and Radio Station KTRM, Beaumont, Texas, and;

It further appearing, that pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the applicants were advised by letters dated October 1, 1952, of the foregoing deficiencies and that the Commission was unable to conclude that a grant would be in the public interest; that the existing stations were informed, by letters dated October 1, 1952, of the foregoing facts; and.

It further appearing, that both applicants filed replies to the Commission's letter indicating agreement with the Commission's findings as to the deficiencies; that Station KFRD, Rosenberg, Texas, filed objections to the application of the Kenedy Broadcasting Company, Ltd., and requested that the applications be designated for hearing; that Station KTRM, Beaumont, Texas, has not filed a reply and that the Commission, after consideration of the replies is still unable to conclude which of the two applications, if either, should be granted and moreover, is of the opinion that under section 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, a hearing is mandatory·

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the said applications are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which may be expected to gain or lose primary service from the operation of the proposed stations, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether the proposed operations would involve objectionable interference each with the other and, if so, the areas and populations involved and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

3. To determine whether the operation of the station proposed by Southwest Broadcasting Company, San Antonio, Texas (BP-8207) would involve objectionable interference with Radio Station KTRM, Beaumont, Texas, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

4. To determine whether the operation of the station proposed by Kenedy Broadcasting Company Ltd., Kenedy, Texas (BP-8578) would involve objectionable interference with Radio Station KTRM, Beaumont, Texas, and KFRD, Rosenberg, Texas, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

5. To determine on a comparative basis which of the operations proposed would best serve the public interest, convenience or necessity in the light of the evidence adduced under the foregoing issues and of the record made with respect to the significant differences between the applications as to:

(a) The background and experience of each of the above-named applicants having a bearing on his ability to own and operate the proposed station.

(b) The proposals of each of the above-named applicants with respect to the management and operation of the proposed station.

(c) The programming service proposed in each of the above-mentioned applications.

It is further ordered, That, KTRM, Inc., licensee of Radio Station KTRM, Beaumont, Texas, is made a party to this proceeding:

It is further ordered, That the Fort Bend County Broadcasting Company, licensee of Station KFRD, Rosenberg, Texas, is made a party with respect to the Kenedy Broadcasting Company application only.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1948; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10414]

RICHLAND BROADCASTING CORP

ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATION FOR HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re application of Richland Broadcasting Corporation, Richland, Wisconsin, Docket No. 10414, File No. BP-8584; for construction permit.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of February 1953;

The Commission having under consideration the above entitled application for a construction permit for a change in antenna system;

It appearing, that the applicant is legally, technically, financially and otherwise qualified; but that the application may involve interference with Stations KFIZ, Fond Du Lac, Wisconsin, WDLB, Marshfield, Wisconsin, and KPIG, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, and

It further appearing, that by letter dated December 17, 1952, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the applicant was advised of the foregoing interference problems and that the Commission was unable to conclude that a grant was in the public interest; and

It further appearing, that Station WDLB filed a reply in response to the Commission letter and requested that the subject application be designated for a hearing because of the interference to its operation; and that the Commission is still unable to conclude that grant would be in the public interest, and moreover, is of the opinion that under section 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, a hearing is mandatory·

It is ordered, That pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the said application is designated for hearing at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent Order upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which may be expected to gain or lose primary service from the proposed operation and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether the proposed operation would involve objectionable interference with Radio Stations KFIZ, Fond Du Lac, Wisconsin, WDLB, Marshfield, Wisconsin, and KPIG, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, and, if so, the nature and

extent thereof, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

It is further ordered, That Radio Stations KFIZ, WDLB, and KPIG be made parties to this proceeding.

Released: February 24, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1949; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10056]

MACKAY RADIO AND TELEGRAPH CO., INC., AND ALL AMERICA CABLES AND RADIO, INC.

ORDER POSTPONING ORAL ARGUMENT

In the matter of Mackay Radio and Telegraph Company, Inc., and All America Cables and Radio, Inc., Docket No. 10056, File Nos. 596-C4-ML-51, 595-C4-ML-51, applications for modification of licenses to delete certain conditional provisions relating to communication between New York, New York and San Juan, Puerto Rico.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of February 1953;

The Commission having under consideration a petition filed by counsel for Mackay Radio and Telegraph Company, Inc., and All America Cables and Radio, Inc., requesting that the oral argument herein now scheduled for February 24, 1953, be postponed to such date in the near future as may meet the convenience of the Commission; and

It appearing, that the other participants in this proceeding have informally consented to the requested postponement:

It is ordered, That the above-described petition is granted; and that the oral argument herein now scheduled for February 24, 1953, is postponed until such time as the Commission issues a new calendar for oral arguments on adjudicatory hearing proceedings.

Released: February 19, 1953.

[SEAL] FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1950; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:49 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 10286, 10287, 10288]

ENTERPRISE CO. ET AL

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In re applications of the Enterprise Company, Beaumont, Texas, Docket No. 10286, File No. BPCT-743; Beaumont Broadcasting Corporation, Beaumont, Texas, Docket No. 10287, File No. BPCT-762; KTRM, Inc., Beaumont, Texas, Docket No. 10288, File No. BPCT-971; for construction permits for new television stations.

The Commission having under consideration a motion filed on February 17, 1953, by KTRM, Inc., requesting a continuance of the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding, now scheduled for February 25, 1953, for one week, until March 4, 1953;

It appearing, that complete depositions taken in Beaumont, to be introduced at the hearing as now scheduled, have not been received in the Commission and that counsel for KTRM, Inc., has not received complete copies thereof to permit the review and stating of such objections as may be necessary at the time same are offered for admission at the further hearing;

It further appearing, that a week's postponement probably will not delay a final determination of the case as there is pending before the Commission a petition to modify the issues with respect to overlap questions between KTRM, Inc., and the Houston Post Company, licensee of Radio Stations KPRC AM and FM and KPRC-TV, which has not yet been acted upon, and that additional time may be required for KTRM, Inc., to prepare therefor in the event such petition is granted;

It further appearing, that counsel for all parties and for the Commission's Broadcast Bureau have consented to a week's continuance and to an immediate consideration of this motion:

It is ordered, This 17th day of February 1953, that the aforesaid motion for continuance is granted and the hearing in this proceeding, presently scheduled for February 25, 1953, is continued to 9:00 a. m., March 4, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1951; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:50 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 10126, 10127]

MID-STATE BROADCASTING CO. AND LEROY E. PARSONS

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In re applications of Mid-State Broadcasting Company, Chehalis, Washington, Docket No. 10126, File No. BP-8187; Leroy E. Parsons, Chehalis, Washington, Docket No. 10127, File No. BP-8354; for construction permits.

The Commission having under consideration a petition filed February 20, 1953, by Mid-State Broadcasting Company, Chehalis, Washington, in which Leroy E. Parsons, Chehalis, Washington, joins, for a 30-day continuance of the hearing of the above entitled matter now scheduled for March 5, 1953, in Washington, D. C., and

It appearing that applicants allege it is practically impossible for them to arrange their affairs in the 21-day period allotted to them between the announcement of the hearing date and the hearing date so as to permit them to come to Washington, transport lay and engineering witnesses and expeditiously present their respective cases; and

It further appearing that the present commitments of the assigned Hearing Examiner are such that a 30-day continuance in this proceeding is not possible, and a longer continuance would not conduce to the dispatch of the Commission's business; that a continuance of 18 days is possible within the Hearing Examiner's schedule, and that counsel for the Broadcast Bureau of the Commission has no objection to such a continuance:

It is ordered, This 25th day of February 1953, that the petition of Mid-State Broadcasting Company, Chehalis, Washington, in which Leroy E. Parsons, Chehalis, Washington, joins, is granted in part and the hearing in the above matter now scheduled for March 5, 1953, is continued to 9:00 a. m., Monday, March 23, 1953, in Washington, D. C.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1952; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:50 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10403]

OHIO BELL TELEPHONE CO.

ORDER ASSIGNING APPLICATION FOR PUBLIC HEARING

In the matter of the application of the Ohio Bell Telephone Company, Docket No. 10403, File No. P-C-3160; for a certificate under section 221 (a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to acquire certain telephone plant and property of The Citizens Telephone Company, Beallsville, Ohio; The Citizens Telephone Company, Clarington, Ohio; The Benwood Telephone Company, Sardis, Ohio; Harold W. Reynolds d/b as The Somerton Telephone Company, Somerton, Ohio; and The Hannibal and Roundbottom Telephone Company, Hannibal, Ohio.

The Commission having under consideration an application filed by The Ohio Bell Telephone Company for a certificate under section 221 (a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, that the proposed acquisition by The Ohio Bell Telephone Company of certain telephone plant and property of The Citizens Telephone Company, Beallsville, Ohio, The Citizens Telephone Company, Clarington, Ohio, The Benwood Telephone Company, Sardis, Ohio, Harold W. Reynolds d/b as The Somerton Telephone Company, Somerton, Ohio, and The Hannibal and Roundbottom Telephone Company, Hannibal, Ohio, located in Belmont and Monroe Counties, Ohio, will be of advantage to the persons to whom service is to be rendered and in the public interest:

It is ordered, This 18th day of February 1953, that pursuant to the provisions of section 221 (a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above application is assigned for public hearing for the purpose of determining whether the proposed acquisition will

be of advantage to the persons to whom service is to be rendered and in the public interest:

It is further ordered, That the hearing upon said application be held at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D. C. beginning at 9:00 a. m. on the 19th day of March 1953, and that a copy of this order shall be served upon the Governor of the State of Ohio; The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio; The Ohio Bell Telephone Company; The Citizens Telephone Company, Beallsville, Ohio; The Citizens Telephone Company, Clarington, Ohio; The Benwood Telephone Company Sardis, Ohio; Harold W Reynolds d/b as The Somerton Telephone Company Somerton, Ohio; The Hannibal and Roundbottom Telephone Company, Hannibal, Ohio; and the Postmasters of Beallsville, Clarington, Sardis, Duffy, Somerton and Hannibal, Ohio:

It is further ordered, That within five days after the receipt from the Commission of a copy of this Order, the applicant herein shall cause a copy hereof to be published in a newspaper or newspapers having general circulation in the Villages of Beallsville, Clarington, Sardis, Duffy, Somerton and Hannibal, and in Belmont and Monroe Counties, Ohio, and shall furnish proof of such publication at the hearing herein.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1953; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:50 a. m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. G-1810, G-1938, G-1939]

TEXAS-OHIO GAS CO.

NOTICE OF ORDER DENYING APPLICATIONS AND TERMINATING PROCEEDINGS

FEBRUARY 25, 1953.

Notice is hereby given that on February 20, 1953, the Federal Power Commission issued its order entered February 19, 1953, affirming as the final decision of the Commission the findings, opinion and order issued November 7, 1952 (17 F R. 10477) in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1923; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-1928]

PERMIAN BASIN PIPELINE CO.

NOTICE OF AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTED APPLICATION

FEBRUARY 25, 1953.

Take notice that Permian Basin Pipeline Company (Applicant) a Delaware corporation with its principal office at 35 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, filed on March 28, 1952, supplemented on September 5 and October 7, 1952, and amended and supplemented on November 20, 1952, and February 6, 1953, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing the construction and operation of the facilities hereinafter described.

Applicant proposes to construct, at the estimated cost indicated below, the following facilities, all as more fully described in the application as amended and supplemented:

(A) TRANSMISSION PIPELINES

	Diameter (inches)	Length (miles)	Estimated cost
(1) Beginning in Mitchell Gas Field, Pecos County, Tex., and extending to applicant's proposed Plymouth compressor station, Upton County, Tex	16	70	$2,464,000
(2) Between applicant's proposed Plymouth and Pembrook compressor stations, Upton County, Tex	24	14	709,000
(3) Between aforesaid Pembrook compressor station, Upton County, Tex., and applicant's proposed Spraberry compressor station, Midland County, Tex	24	20	1,011,000
(4) Between aforesaid Spraberry compressor station, Midland County, Tex., and point of connection with El Paso Natural Gas Co.'s existing 24-inch pipeline near Wasson, Yoakum County, Tex	30	96	7,485,000
(5) Measuring station at aforesaid Wasson interconnection			
(6) Between applicant's proposed Hobbs compressor station, Lea County, N. Mex., and aforesaid Wasson interconnection	26	34	1,940,000
(7) Measuring station at Dumas, Tex			49,000
Total		164	13,658,000

(B) COMPRESSOR STATIONS

	Number of units	Horsepower/ units	Total horsepower	Estimated cost
(1) Plymouth station	5	1,400	7,000	$1,972,000
(2) Pembrook station	12	1,350	16,200	4,012,000
(3) Spraberry station	20	1,320	26,400	6,571,000
(4) Hobbs station	4	1,320	5,280	2,200,000
Total			54,880	14,755,000

(C) Other facilities	$14,117,000
Total estimated cost	42,530,000

Applicant proposes by means of the facilities covered by the application, as supplemented and amended, to transport and deliver gas to El Paso Natural Gas Company, in the amounts of 200 MMcf per day in the first year and 300 MMcf per day by the second year, at an interconnection with El Paso's 24-inch pipeline near Wasson, Yoakum County Texas. Under an agreement between Applicant and El Paso, included as a part of the third supplement and amendment filed herein on November 20, 1952, El Paso agrees to deliver to Applicant at El Paso's compressor station at Dumas, Moore County, Texas, the same quantities of gas delivered by Applicant at the Wasson interconnection in Yoakum County, Texas.

Notice of the original application as filed herein on March 28, 1952, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 17, 1952 (17 F R. 3431) Notice of the application as supplemented on September 5 and October 7, 1952, and amended and supplemented on November 20, 1952, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 30, 1953 (18 F. R. 658) The changes proposed in the fourth supplement filed on February 6, 1953, include, among other things, the addition of the approximately 70 miles of 16-inch pipeline extending from the Mitchell Gas Field to Applicant's proposed Plymouth compressor station, the elimination of the proposed Wasson compressor station, and the addition of substantial other facilities incident to the connection of the Mitchell Gas Field to Applicant's proposed system.

The presently proposed facilities are estimated to cost $42,530,000 as compared to the $58,180,000 investment cost estimated for the project as originally applied for on March 28, 1952. Applicant proposes to finance the cost of constructing the project by the sale of Applicant's debt and equity securities. Applicant has a contract with Northern Natural Gas Company under which Northern is to purchase the approximately 300 MMcf per day of gas proposed to be transported through the proposed pipeline.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 16th day of March 1953. Petitioners that have already filed petitions to intervene need not file any supplemental petitions for such purpose. The application, as supplemented and amended, is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1911; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:45 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-1951]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

NOTICE OF ORDER AFFIRMING DECISION OF PRESIDING EXAMINER

FEBRUARY 25, 1953.

Notice is hereby given that on February 20, 1953, the Federal Power Commission issued its order entered February 19, 1953, affirming as modified herein, the

decision of the Presiding Examiner issued on November 20, 1952, in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-1924; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File Nos. 54-127, 59-3, 59-12, 70-1806]

ELECTRIC BOND AND SHARE CO.

ORDER APPROVING PLAN

FEBRUARY 20, 1953.

Electric Bond and Share Company ("Bond and Share") a registered holding company, having filed an application and amendment thereto pursuant to section 11 (e) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("the act") and other applicable provisions of the Act for approval of a plan, as amended, providing generally for: (1) The disposition of such amount of the stock of United Gas Corporation ("United") held by Bond and Share as will result in reducing Bond and Share's holdings of that stock to less than 5 percent of the amount outstanding, such disposition to be accomplished within two years from the effective date of the plan, as amended, (2) the retention by Bond and Share of its interests in Ebasco Services Incorporated ("Ebasco") and American & Foreign Power Company, Inc. ("Foreign Power"), (3) the right of Bond and Share to invest available funds in new or established enterprises, subject to the limitations set forth in the plan, as amended, and subject to conformance by Bond and Share with the requirements set forth in the particular sections of the Investment Company Act of 1940 stated in the plan, as amended, (4) the amendment of Bond and Share's charter so as to provide for cumulative voting in the election of directors, and for limited preemptive rights; and (5) certain related matters, all as set out more fully in the plan, as amended; and

Public hearings having been duly held after appropriate notice, at which hearings all interested persons were afforded opportunity to be heard; and

Bond and Share having requested the Commission pursuant to section 11 (e) of the act to apply to an appropriate court to enforce and carry out the terms and provisions of the plan, as amended; and

The Commission having considered the record in the matter, and having filed its findings and opinion herein on January 27, 1953, finding that if modified in certain respects as set forth in said findings and opinion, the Commission could find the plan, as amended, necessary to effectuate the provisions of section 11 (b) of the act, and fair and equitable to the persons affected by it; and

Bond and Share having, on February 11, 1953, filed an amendment providing for its modification in accordance with the aforesaid findings and opinion of the Commission; and

The Commission having considered the aforesaid amendment filed on February 11, 1953, in the light of its findings and opinion of January 27, 1953, and finding that the plan, as so amended ("Amended Plan") is necessary to effectuate the provisions of section 11 (b) of the act and is fair and equitable to the persons affected by it:

It is ordered, On the basis of the record herein, and the said findings and opinion, under section 11 (e) of the act and other applicable provisions thereof, that the said Amended Plan be, and the same hereby is, approved, subject to the terms and conditions contained in Rule U-24 and to the following additional terms and conditions:

1. That the order entered herein shall not be operative to authorize consummation of the transactions proposed in the Amended Plan until an appropriate United States District Court shall upon application thereto enter an order enforcing said Amended Plan;

2. That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, specifically reserved to determine the reasonableness of all fees and expenses and other remuneration incurred or to be incurred in connection with the said Amended Plan, and its predecessor plans, and the transactions incident thereto;

3. That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, specifically reserved with respect to the action to be taken upon any further applications for exemption by Bond and Share from all of the provisions of the act except section 9 (a) (2),

4. That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, specifically reserved with respect to the question of what, if any, amount of United stock up to 5 percent of the total shares outstanding may ultimately be retained by Bond and Share;

5. That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, specifically reserved with respect to the question of what, if any, action shall be taken in connection with any exemption application of Bond and Share, concerning the composition of the board of directors of United and the representation, direct or indirect, by Bond and Share on said board;

6. That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, specifically reserved with respect to the question of whether, after completion of the dispositions set forth in the Amended Plan, Bond and Share may continue its contractual relation with National Research Corporation and United;

7. That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, specifically reserved with respect to the disposition of the United Stock as proposed in the Amended Plan and with respect to any acquisitions of United stock that Bond and Share may propose to make;

8. That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, specifically reserved to entertain such further proceedings, to make such supplemental findings, and to take such further action as may be necessary in connection with the Amended Plan, the transactions incident thereto and the consummation thereof.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-1915; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:46 a. m.]

[File No. 54-188]

EASTERN UTILITIES ASSOCIATES

ORDER RELEASING JURISDICTION WITH RESPECT TO EXCHANGE AGENT

FEBRUARY 24, 1953.

The Commission, on December 18, 1952, having issued its order (Holding Company Act Release No. 11625) approving Amended Reorganization Plan No. 4 ("Plan") under section 11 (e) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 submitted by Eastern Utilities Associates ("EUA") a registered holding company, providing for the issuance by EUA of 989,407.0628 new common shares of $10 par value per share, of which 685,700¾ shares of 69.3 percent will be allocated to the holders of its presently outstanding common shares and 303,706.3128 shares or 30.7 percent to the holders of its presently outstanding convertible shares and for certain other transactions;

Said Plan having been approved subject to certain terms and conditions and reservations of jurisdiction, as set forth in such order, including a reservation of jurisdiction with respect to the selection of the distribution or exchange agent or agents by EUA,

It appearing from the record that EUA has received offers from certain institutions with respect to their charges for rendering the services required of such distribution or exchange agent in connection with carrying out said Plan, and that after comparing the offers of such institutions, the offer of Stone & Webster Service Corporation was the lowest; and EUA having proposed to appoint Stone & Webster Service Corporation as its distribution or exchange agent under its Plan;

The Commission having considered the record and having concluded that it is appropriate for EUA to appoint Stone & Webster Service Corporation as its distribution or exchange agent under its Plan and that the jurisdiction heretofore reserved with respect thereto should be released:

It is ordered, That the jurisdiction heretofore reserved with respect to the selection of the distribution or exchange agent by EUA be, and hereby is, released:

It is further ordered, That the jurisdiction heretofore reserved with respect to other matters in connection with the proceedings be, and it hereby is, continued.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-1913; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:46 a. m.]

[File No. 70-2933]

NEW ENGLAND POWER CO.

ORDER AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE AND SALE OF NEW PREFERRED STOCK

FEBRUARY 24, 1953.

New England Power Co. ("NEPCO"), a public-utility subsidiary of New England Electric System, a registered

holding company, having filed an application-declaration and amendments thereto, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("act") particularly sections 6 (a) 6 (b) 7 and 12 (c) thereof and Rules U-42 and U-50 thereunder, with respect to the following proposed transactions:

NEPCO proposes to create a new class of preferred stock with a par value of $100 per share, to be designated Dividend Series Preferred Stock, and to issue 80,140 shares of an initial series of such stock to be designated Cumulative Preferred Stock, __ Percent Series. The 80,140 shares of new preferred stock are to be offered, during a period of not less than 15 days, to the holders of NEPCO's outstanding 6 percent Cumulative Preferred Stock (noncallable) for subscription in the ratio of one share of new preferred stock for each share of 6 percent Cumulative Preferred Stock held, pursuant to the preemptive rights accorded such stock in the company's charter. This offering is to be underwritten and the holders of old preferred stock may subscribe for the new preferred stock at a price to be fixed by the successful bidder as the price to be paid to NEPCO for the unsubscribed shares determined pursuant to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule U-50. The rights to subscribe will be evidenced by transferable subscription warrants.

The dividend rate on the new preferred stock and the price to the company (to be not less than $100 nor more than $102.75 per share) are to be determined by the competitive bidding. The bidders will be required to specify the aggregate amount of compensation to be paid by NEPCO as compensation for their commitments and obligations in connection with the purchase of the unsubscribed shares.

The total expense in connection with the proposed issuance and sale of the new preferred stock is estimated not to exceed $60,000 and such total estimated expense includes $18,000 for services performed at cost by New England Power Service Company, an affiliated service company.

The proceeds of the sale of the new preferred stock will be applied to the payment of notes and the balance, if any, will be used for construction purposes. The filing states that NEPCO has outstanding $9,400,000 principal amount of short-term notes, due April 1, 1953, under a loan agreement with five banks and that the company anticipates that upon completion of the new preferred stock financing it will have outstanding approximately $1,400,000 principal amount of notes payable to banks.

The filing indicates that the issuance and sale of the new preferred stock have been authorized by the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities, the Vermont Public Service Commission, and the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission.

It is requested that the Commission's order became effective upon issuance and that the ten day period for inviting bids with respect to the new preferred stock, as provided in U-50, be shortened to a period of not less than six days.

Due notice having been given of the filing of the application-declaration and amendments thereto, and a hearing not having been requested or ordered by the Commission; and the Commission finding with respect to said application-declaration, as amended, that the applicable standards of the act and the rules are satisfied and that no adverse findings are necessary and that it is not necessary to impose any terms or conditions other than those set forth below, and the Commission deeming it appropriate that said application-declaration, as amended, including the request that the bidding period be shortened from 10 days to not less than 6 days, be granted and permitted to become effective, subject to the reservation of jurisdiction hereinafter provided:

It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule U-23 and the applicable provisions of the act that said application-declaration, as amended, including the request that the bidding period be shortened from 10 days to not less than 6 days, be, and it hereby is, granted and permitted to become effective forthwith, subject to the conditions prescribed in Rule U-24 and to the following additional terms and conditions:

(1) That the proposed issuance and sale by NEPCO of preferred stock shall not be consummated until the results of competitive bidding shall have been made a matter of record in this proceeding and a further order shall have been issued in the light of the record so completed, which order may contain such further terms and conditions as may then be deemed appropriate; and

(2) That jurisdiction be reserved with respect to the counsel fees and expenses to be paid by the successful bidder.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1914; Filed, Mar. 2, 1953; 8:46 a. m.]

ECONOMIC STABILIZATION AGENCY

Office of Price Stabilization

[Region VII, Redelegation of Authority 21, Revision 1, Amdt. 1]

DIRECTORS OF DISTRICT OFFICES, REGION VII, CHICAGO, ILL.

REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO ACT ON APPLICATIONS FOR CEILING PRICE ADJUSTMENTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 91 OF CPR 117, REVISION 1

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Director of the Regional Office of Price Stabilization, No. VII, pursuant to Delegation of Authority No. 52, Revision 1, Amendment 1 (18 F R. 747) this Amendment 1 to Redelegation of Authority No. 21, Revision 1, is hereby issued.

Redelegation of Authority No. 21, Revision 1, section 1, is amended to read as follows:

SECTION 1. *Authority to act under sections 36, 53, and 91 of CPR 117 Revision 1.* Authority is hereby redelegated to the Directors of the District Offices of Price Stabilization located at Indianapolis, Indiana, and Milwaukee, Wisconsin, to act, by order, on all applications under the provisions of sections 36, 53, and 91 of Ceiling Price Regulation 117, Revision 1.

This Amendment 1 to Redelegation of Authority No. 21, Revision 1, shall be effective February 26, 1953.

B. EMMETT HARTNETT,
Director of Regional Office No. VII.

FEBRUARY 26, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1930; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:42 p. m.]

[Region VIII, Redelegation of Authority 1, Revised, Amdt. 2]

DIRECTORS OF DISTRICT OFFICES, REGION VIII, MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.

REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO ACT UNDER SECTION 39 OF CPR 7, AS AMENDED

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Director of the Regional Office of Price Stabilization, Region VIII, pursuant to Amendment 2 to Delegation of Authority No. 5, Revision 1, dated January 30, 1953 (18 F R. 706) this Amendment 2 to Redelegation of Authority No. 1, Revised (17 F R. 457) is hereby issued.

Paragraph 1 of Redelegation of Authority No. 1, Revised, is amended to read as follows:

1. Authority is hereby redelegated to the District Directors, Office of Price Stabilization, Region VIII, to act under Section 39 of Ceiling Price Regulation 7, as amended.

This Amendment 2 to Redelegation of Authority No. 1, Revised, shall take effect as of February 19, 1953.

JOSEPH ROBBIE, Jr.,
Regional Director Region VIII.

FEBRUARY 26, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1931; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:42 p. m.]

[Region VIII, Redelegation of Authority 26, Revision 1, Amdt. 1]

DIRECTORS OF DISTRICT OFFICES, REGION VIII, MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.

REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO ACT ON APPLICATIONS FOR CEILING PRICE ADJUSTMENTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 91 OF CPR 117, REVISION 1

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Director of the Regional Office of Price Stabilization, Region VIII, pursuant to Amendment 1 to Delegation of Authority No. 52, Revision 1, dated February 3, 1953 (18 F. R. 747), this Amendment 1 to Redelegation of Authority No. 26, Revised (17 F R. 6132) is hereby issued.

Section 1 of Redelegation of Authority No. 26, Revised, is amended to read as follows:

SECTION 1. *Authority to act under sections 36, 53, and 91 of CPR 117, Revision 1.* Authority is hereby redelegated to

the District Directors, Office of Price Stabilization, Region VIII, to act, by order, on all applications under the provisions of sections 36, 53, and 91 of Ceiling Price Regulation 117, Revision 1.

This Amendment 1 to Redelegation of Authority No. 26, Revision 1, shall take effect as of February 19, 1953.

JOSEPH ROBBIE, Jr.,
Regional Director, Region VIII.

FEBRUARY 26, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1932; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:42 p. m.]

[Region VIII, Redelegation of Authority 54]

DIRECTORS OF DISTRICT OFFICES, REGION VIII, MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.

REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO ACT UNDER CPR 65, AS AMENDED

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Director of the Regional Office of Price Stabilization, Region VIII, pursuant to Delegation of Authority No. 81, Revision 1, dated January 28, 1953 (18 F. R. 640) this redelegation of authority is hereby issued.

1. *Authority to act under section 4 (d) of Ceiling Price Regulation 65, as amended.* Authority is hereby redelegated to the District Directors, Office of Price Stabilization, Region VIII, to receive and process applications for the establishment of ceiling prices pursuant to section 4 (d) of CPR 65, as amended, and to approve or disapprove ceiling prices proposed by applicants, to establish different ceiling prices, to request further information concerning the applications, and to amend, modify, or revoke any order issued pursuant to this redelegation of authority.

This redelegation of authority shall take effect as of February 19, 1953.

JOSEPH ROBBIE, Jr.,
Regional Director, Region VIII.

FEBRUARY 26, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1933; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:43 p. m.]

[Region VIII, Redelegation of Authority 55]

DIRECTORS OF DISTRICT OFFICES, REGION VIII, MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.

REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO ACT UNDER GOR 40—ADJUSTMENTS FOR RETAILERS

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Director of the Regional Office of Price Stabilization, Region VIII, pursuant to Delegation of Authority No. 88, dated January 26, 1953 (18 F. R. 613) this redelegation of authority is hereby issued.

1. *Authority to act under section 5 of GOR 40.* Authority is hereby redelegated to the District Directors, Office of Price Stabilization, Region VIII, to act in accordance with sections 3 and 4 of GOR 40 on any application for adjustment filed pursuant thereto, which has been referred under the provisions of section 5 by the National Office or by this Regional Office.

This redelegation of authority shall take effect as of February 19, 1953.

JOSEPH ROBBIE, Jr.,
Regional Director, Region VIII.

FEBRUARY 26, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1934; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:43 p. m.]

[Region XIII, Redelegation of Authority 13, Revision 2]

DIRECTORS OF DISTRICT OFFICES, REGION XIII, SEATTLE, WASH.

REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO ACT ON APPLICATIONS FOR CEILING PRICES PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 36, 53 AND 91 OF CPR 117, REVISION 1, AND TO PRESCRIBE UNIFORM MAXIMUM CASE AND CONTAINER CHARGES PURSUANT TO SECTION 71 OF CPR 117, REVISION 1

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Director of the Regional Office of Price Stabilization, No. XIII, pursuant to Delegation of Authority No. 52, Revision 1, as amended (18 F. R. 747), this revision of Redelegation of Authority 13 is hereby issued.

1. Authority is hereby redelegated to the Directors of the Boise, Portland, and Spokane District Offices of Price Stabilization, respectively, to act, by order, on all applications under the provisions of sections 36, 53, and 91 of Ceiling Price Regulation 117, Revision 1.

2. Authority is hereby redelegated to the Directors of the Boise, Portland, and Spokane District Offices of Price Stabilization, respectively, to issue orders, pursuant to section 71 of Ceiling Price Regulation 117, Revision 1, establishing uniform maximum case and container charges for any seller or group of sellers located in their respective jurisdictions.

This revised redelegation of authority shall become effective as of February 16, 1953.

E. R. THISSEN,
Acting Regional Director, Region XIII.

FEBRUARY 26, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1935; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:43 p. m.]

CERTAIN REGIONS

LIST OF COMMUNITY CEILING PRICE ORDERS

The following orders under General Overriding Regulation 24 were filed with the Division of the Federal Register on February 9, 1953:

REGION I

Montpelier Order I-G1-3, amendment 1, filed 3:24 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 1, filed 3:24 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 1, filed 3:24 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 3:25 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 1, filed 3:25 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 3:25 p. m.

Hartford Order I-G1-3, amendment 3, filed 3:25 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 4, filed 3:25 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 3:26 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 4, filed 3:26 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 3, filed 3:27 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 4, filed 3:27 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 3, filed 3:27 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 4, filed 3:27 p. m.

REGION II

Newark Order I-G1-2, amendment 3, filed 3:27 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 1, filed 3:23 p. m., I-G2-2, amendment 3, filed 3:28 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 1, filed 3:23 p. m., I-G3-2, amendment 3, filed 3:23 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 1, filed 3:29 p. m., I-G4-2, amendment 3, filed 3:28 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 1, filed 3:29 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 3:29 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 1, filed 3:29 p. m.

Syracuse Order I-G1-3, amendment 1, filed 3:29 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 3:29 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 3, filed 3:29 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 1, filed 3:30 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 3:30 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 3:30 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 1, filed 3:30 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 3:30 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 3, filed 3:31 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 1, filed 3:31 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 3:32 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 3, filed 3:32 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 2, filed 3:32 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 3, filed 3:32 p. m., II-G1-3, amendment 4, filed 3:33 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 5, filed 3:33 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 2, filed 3:33 p. m., II-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 3:33 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 4, filed 3:33 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 5, filed 3:34 p. m., II-G3-2, amendment 2, filed 3:34 p. m., II-G3-2, amendment 3, filed 3:34 p. m., II-G3-2, amendment 4, filed 3:34 p. m., II-G4-2, amendment 2, filed 3:35 p. m., II-G4-2, amendment 3, filed 3:35 p. m., II-G4-2, amendment 4, filed 3:35 p. m., III-G3-2, amendment 2, filed 3:35 p. m., III-G4-2, amendment 2, filed 3:36 p. m.

REGION III

Philadelphia Order I-G1-3, amendment 1, filed 3:36 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 3:36 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 3, filed 3:36 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 1, filed 3:37 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 3:37 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 3:37 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 1, filed 3:37 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 3:37 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 3, filed 3:38 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 1, filed 3:38 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 3:38 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 3:38 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 2, filed 3:39 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 3, filed 3:39 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 1, filed 3:39 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 2, filed 3:39 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 3, filed 3:40 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 3:40 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 2, filed 3:40 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 3, filed 3:40 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 1, filed 3:40 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 2, filed 3:41 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 3, filed 3:41 p. m.

Pittsburgh Order I-G1-4, filed 3:42 p. m., I-G2-4, filed 3:42 p. m., I-G3-4, filed 3:42 p. m., I-G4-4, filed 3:43 p. m., I-G3-4, amendment 1, filed 3:42 p. m., I-G3-4, amendment 2, filed 3:43 p. m., I-G4-4, amendment 1, filed 3:43 p. m., I-G4-4, amendment 2, filed 3:44 p. m.

REGION IV

Richmond Order I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 3:44 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 3, filed 3:44 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 4, filed 3:45 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 5, filed 3:45 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 6, filed 3:45 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 3:45 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 3:46 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 4, filed 3:46 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 5, filed 3:46 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 6, filed 3:47 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 3:47 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 3, filed 3:47 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 4, filed 3:47 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 5, filed 3:47 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 6, filed 3:48 p. m., I-G3A-3, amendment 2, filed 3:49 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 3:51 p. m.,

I-G4-3, amendment 3, filed 3:51 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 4, filed 3:51 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 5, filed 3:51 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 6, filed 3:52 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 2, filed 3:52 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 3, filed 3:52 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 4, filed 3:52 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 5, filed 3:53 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 6, filed 3.53 p. m.

Baltimore Order II-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 3:53 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 1, filed 3:53 p. m.

Charlotte Order I-G3-3, amendment 4, filed 3:54 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 4, filed 3:54 p. m.

Copies of any of these orders may be obtained in any OPS office in the designated city.

JOSEPH L. DWYER,
Recording Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1936; Filed Feb. 26, 1953; 4:43 p. m.]

REGIONS V AND VI

LIST OF COMMUNITY CEILING PRICE ORDERS

The following orders under General Overriding Regulation 24 were filed with the Division of the Federal Register on February 10, 1953:

REGION V

Atlanta Order I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 1:49 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 1:49 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 4, filed 1:49 p. m., I-G3A-3, amendment 2, filed 1:49 p. m., I-G-4-3, amendment 4, filed 1:50 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 2, filed 1:50 p. m., II-G1-1, amendment 1, filed 1:50 p. m., II-G1-1, amendment 2, filed 1:50 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 1:50 p. m., II-G2-1, amendment 1, filed 1:51 p. m., II-G2-1, amendment 2, filed 1:51 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 1, filed 1:51 p. m., II-G3-1, amendment 3, filed 1:52 p. m., II-G4-1, amendment 3, filed 1:52 p. m.

Columbia Order I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 1:52 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 1:52 p. m., I-G3-2, amendment 3, filed 1:53 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 3, filed 1:53 p. m.; I-G3A-3, amendment 2, filed 1:53 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 3, filed 1:53 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 2, filed 1:54 p. m.

Jackson Order I-G3A-3, filed 1:56 p. m., I-G4A-1, filed 1:58 p. m., I-G4A-2, filed 1:59 p. m., I-G4A-3, filed 2:00 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 1:54 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 1:55 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 1:55 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 3, filed 1:55 p. m., I-G3A-1, amendment 2, filed 1:55 p. m., I-G3A-2, amendment 3, filed 1:56 p. m., I-G3A-3, amendment 1, filed 1:56 p. m., I-G3A-3, amendment 2, filed 1:56 p. m., I-G3A-3, amendment 3, filed 1:56 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 1:57 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 3, filed 1:57 p. m., I-G4A-1, amendment 1, filed 1:58 p. m., I-G4A-1, amendment 2, filed 1:58 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 1, filed 2:00 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 2, filed 2:00 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 3, filed 2:00 p. m.

Jacksonville I-G1-3, amendment 3, filed 2:01 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 2:01 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 4, filed 2:01 p. m., I-G3A-3, amendment 3, filed 2:01 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 4, filed 2:02 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 3, filed 2:02 p. m., II-G1-3, amendment 4, filed 2:02 p. m., II-G2-3, amendment 4, filed 2:03 p. m., II-G4A-3, amendment 4, filed 2:03 p. m., III-G1-3, amendment 3, filed 2:03 p. m., III-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 2:04 p. m., III-G4A-3, amendment 3, filed 2:04 p. m., IV-G1-3, amendment 3, filed 2:04 p. m., IV-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 2:04 p. m.

Nashville Order I-G3A-3, filed 2:05 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 2:05 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 2:05 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 2:05 p. m., I-G3A-3, amendment 1, filed 2:05 p. m., I-G3A-3, amendment 2, filed 2:06 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 2:06 p. m., I-G4A-3, amendment 2, filed 2:06 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 2:07 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 2, filed 2:07 p. m., II-G2-2, amendment 1, filed 2:07 p. m., II-G3-2, amendment 1, filed 2:07 p. m., II-G4-2, amendment 1, filed 2:07 p. m., II-G4A-2, amendment 1, filed 2:08 p. m., III-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 2:08 p. m., III-G2-2, amendment 1, filed 2:08 p. m., III-G3-2, amendment 1, filed 2:08 p. m.; III-G3A-2, amendment 1, filed 2:09 p. m., III-G4-2, amendment 1, filed 2:09 p. m., III-G4-2, amendment 2, filed 2:09 p. m., III-G4A-2, amendment 1, filed 2:09 p. m.

Montgomery Order I-G1-2, amendment 4, filed 2:09 p. m., I-G2-2, amendment 4, filed 2:10 p. m., I-G3-2; amendment 6, filed 2:10 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 2:10 p. m., I-G4-2, amendment 6, filed 2:11 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 2:11 p. m.

REGION VI

Detroit Order II-G1-2, filed 2:11 p. m., II-G2-2, filed 2:11 p. m., II-G3-2, filed 2:12 p. m., II-G4-2, filed 2:12 p. m.

Cleveland Order II-G1-2, filed 2:12 p. m., II-G2-2, filed 2:12 p. m., II-G3-2, filed 2:12 p. m., II-G4-2, filed 2:13 p. m., III-G1-2, filed 2:13 p. m., III-G2-2, filed 2:13 p. m., III-G3-2, filed 2:13 p. m., III-G4-2, filed 2:14 p. m., I-G1-2, amendment 4, filed 2:14 p. m., I-G1-2, amendment 5, filed 2:14 p. m., I-G1-2, amendment 6, filed 2:14 p. m., I-G2-2, amendment 4, filed 2:15 p. m., I-G2-2, amendment 5, filed 2:15 p. m., I-G2-2, amendment 6, filed 2:15 p. m., I-G3-2, amendment 4, filed 2:15 p. m., I-G3-2, amendment 5, filed 2:15 p. m., I-G3-2, amendment 6, filed 2:16 p. m., I-G4-2, amendment 4, filed 2:16 p. m., I-G4-2, amendment 5, filed 2:16 p. m., I-G4-2, amendment 6, filed 2:17 p. m., IV-G1-1, amendment 2, filed 2:17 p. m., IV-G1-1, amendment 3, filed 2:17 p. m., IV-G2-1, amendment 2, filed 2:17 p. m.; IV-G2-1, amendment 3, filed 2:18 p. m., IV-G3-1, amendment 2, filed 2:18 p. m., IV-G3-1, amendment 3, filed 2:18 p. m.; IV-G4-1, amendment 2, filed 2:19 p. m., IV-G4-1, amendment 3, filed 2:19 p. m.

Copies of any of these orders may be obtained in any OPS Office in the designated city.

JOSEPH L. DWYER,
Recording Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-1937; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:44 p. m.]

CERTAIN REGIONS

LIST OF COMMUNITY CEILING PRICE ORDERS

The following orders under General Overriding Regulation 24 were filed with the Division of the Federal Register on February 12, 1953:

REGION VII

Chicago Order III-G1-2, filed 3:09 p. m., III-G2-2, filed 3:09 p. m., III-G3-2, filed 3:09 p. m., III-G3A-2, filed 3:09 p. m., III-G4-2, filed 3:10 p. m; III-G4A-2, filed 3:10 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 4, filed 3:10 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 4, filed 3:10 p. m., II-G3-2, amendment 3, filed 3:11 p. m., II-G4-2, amendment 3, filed 3:11 p. m.

Milwaukee Order I-G1-3, amendment 1, filed 3:11 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 3:11 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 3, filed 3:12 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 1, filed 3:12 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 3:12 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 3:12 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 3:13 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 3, filed 3:13 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 4, filed 3:13 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 5, filed 3:13 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 6, filed 3:13 p. m., II-G3-1, amendment 3, filed 3:14 p. m., II-G3-1, amendment 4, filed 3:14 p. m., II-G4-1, amendment 4, filed 3:14 p. m., III-G3-1, amendment 4, filed 3:14 p. m., III-G4-1, amendment 3, filed 3:15 p. m., III-G4-1, amendment 4, filed 3:15 p. m.

Indianapolis Order III-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 3:15 p. m., III-G2-2, amendment 1, filed 3:15 p. m., IV-G3-2, amendment 1, filed 3:15 p. m., IV-G4-2, amendment 1, filed 3:16 p. m.

REGION VIII

Minneapolis Order II-G4A-1, filed 3.16 p. m., I-G1-2, amendment 4, filed 3:18 p. m., I-G1-2, amendment 5, filed 3:18 p. m., I-G1-2, amendment 6, filed 3:18 p. m., I-G2-2, amendment 4, filed 3:18 p. m., I-G2-2, amendment 5, filed 3:18 p. m., I-G2-2, amendment 6, filed 3:18 p. m., I-G3-2, amendment 4, filed 3:19 p. m., I-G3-2, amendment 5, filed 3:19 p. m., I-G3-2, amendment 6, filed 3:19 p. m., I-G4-2, amendment 4, filed 3:19 p. m., I-G4-2, amendment 5, filed 3:19 p. m., I-G4-2, amendment 6, filed 3:20 p. m., I-G4A-1, amendment 4, filed 3:20 p. m., I-G4A-1, amendment 5, filed 3:20 p. m., I-G4A-1, amendment 6, filed 3:20 p. m., III-G1-1, amendment 1, filed 3:16 p. m., III-G1-1, amendment 2, filed 3:16 p. m., III-G2-1, amendment 1, filed 3:16 p. m., III-G2-1, amendment 2, filed 3:17 p. m., III-G3-1, amendment 1, filed 3:17 p. m., III-G3-1, amendment 2, filed 3:17 p. m., III-G4-1, amendment 1, filed 3:17 p. m., III-G4-1, amendment 2, filed 3:17 p. m.

Fargo Order IV-G1-3, filed 3:23 p. m., IV-G2-3, filed 3:23 p. m., I-G3-1, amendment 1, filed 3:21 p. m., I-G4-1, amendment 1, filed 3:22 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 3, filed 3:23 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 4, filed 3:24 p. m., II-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 3:24 p. m., II-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 3:24 p. m., II-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 3:24 p. m., II-G3-3, amendment 3, filed 3:25 p. m., II-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 3:25 p. m., II-G4-3, amendment 3, filed 3:25 p. m., IV-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 3:25 p. m.

REGION IX

Wichita Order I-G3A-1, filed 3:25 p. m., I-G4A-1, filed 3:26 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 3:27 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 3, filed 3:27 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 4, filed 3:27 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 3:27 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 3, filed 3:28 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 4, filed 3:28 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 3:28 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 3, filed 3:28 p. m.; I-G3-3, amendment 4, filed 3:29 p. m., I-G3A-1, amendment 1, filed 3:26 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 3:29 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 3, filed 3:29 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 4, filed, 3:29 p. m., I-G4A-1, amendment 1, filed 3:26 p. m.

Kansas City Order I-G1-3, filed 3:30 p. m., I-G2-3, filed 3:31 p. m., 1-G3-3, filed 3:31 p. m., I-G4-3, filed 3:32 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 1, filed 3:30 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 3:30 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 1, filed 3:31 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 3:31 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 1, filed 3:32 p. m., I-G3-3, amendment 2, filed 3:32 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 1, filed 3:32 p. m., I-G4-3, amendment 2, filed 3:32 p. m.

Des Moines Order I-G3-4, filed 3:33 p. m., I-G4-4, filed 3:33 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 1, filed 3:33 p. m., I-G1-3, amendment 2, filed 3:33 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 1, filed 3:34 p. m., I-G2-3, amendment 2, filed 3:34 p. m., I-G3A-1, amendment 1, filed 3:34 p. m., I-G4A-1, amendment 1, filed 3:34 p. m., II-G1-2, amendment 1, filed 3:35

p. m., II–G1–2, amendment 2, filed 3:35 p. m., II–G2–2, amendment 1, filed 3:35 p. m., II–G2–2, amendment 2, filed 3:35 p. m.

Omaha Order I–G1–3, amendment 1, filed 3:36 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 1, filed 3:36 p. m., I–G3–3, amendment 1, filed 3:36 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 1, filed 3:36 p. m., II–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 3:36 p. m., II–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 3:37 p. m., II–G4–1, amendment 1, filed 3:37 p. m.

St. Louis Order II–G1–2, filed 3:37 p. m., II–G2–2, filed 3:38 p. m., II–G3–2, filed 3:38 p. m., II–G4–2, filed 3:39 p. m.

REGION X

San Antonio Order I–G1–4, filed 2:51 p. m., I–G2–4, filed 2:51 p. m., I–G3–4, filed 2:51 p. m., I–G4–4, filed 2:52 p. m., I–G4A–1, amendment 3, filed 2:52 p. m., I–G4A–1, amendment 4, filed 2:52 p. m.

Dallas Order I–G1–1, filed 2:53 p. m., I–G1–2, filed 2:53 p. m., I–G2–1, filed 2:54 p. m., I–G2–2, filed 2:54 p. m., I–G3–1, filed 2:55 p. m., I–G3–2, filed 2:55 p. m. I–G3A–1, filed 2:55 p. m., I–G4–1, filed 2:56 p. m., I–G4–2, filed 2:58 p. m., I–G4A–1, filed 2:57 p. m., I–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:53 p. m., I–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:54 p. m., I–G3–1, amendment 1, filed 2:55 p. m., I–G3A–1, amendment 1, filed 2:56 p. m., I–G3A–1, amendment 2, filed 2:56 p. m., I–G4–1, amendment 1, filed 2:57 p. m., I–G4A–1, amendment 1, filed 2:57 p. m., I–G4A–1, amendment 2, filed 2:57 p. m.

Houston Area I–G1–1, filed 2:58 p. m., I–G2–1, filed 2:58 p. m., I–G3–1, filed 2:58 p. m., I–G4–1, filed 2:59 p. m.

Oklahoma City Order I–G1–4, filed 2:59 p. m., I–G2–4, filed 3:00 p. m., I–G4–4, filed 3:00 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 1, filed 2:59 p. m., I–G1–4, amendment 1, filed 3:00 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 1, filed 2:59 p. m., I–G2–4, amendment 1, filed 3:00 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 2, filed 2:59 p. m., I–G4–4, amendment 1, filed 3:00 p. m.

New Orleans Order I–G1–3, amendment 1, filed 3:01 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 2, filed 3:01 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 3, filed 3:01 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 4, filed 3:02 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 1, filed 3:02 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 2, filed 3:02 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 3, filed 3:02 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 4, filed 3:03 p. m., I–G3–3, amendment 1, filed 3:03 p. m., I–G3–3, amendment 2, filed 3:03 p. m., I–G3–3, amendment 3, filed 3:04 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 1, filed 3:04 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 2, filed 3:04 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 3, filed 3:05 p. m., I–G4A–2, amendment 1, filed 3:05 p. m., I–G4A–2, amendment 2, filed 3:05 p. m., I–G4A–2, amendment 3, filed 3:05 p. m., I–G4A–2, amendment 4, filed 3:06 p. m., I–G4A–2, amendment 5, filed 3:06 p. m.

Little Rock Order I–G1–4, filed 3:03 p. m., I–G2–4, filed 3:03 p. m., I–G3–4, filed 3:03 p. m., I–G4–4, filed 3:03 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 3, filed 3:07 p. m.; I–G3–3, amendment 2, filed 3:07 p. m., I–G3A–3, amendment 2, filed 3:07 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 2, filed 3:07 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 2, filed 3:07 p. m.

Copies of any of these orders may be obtained in any OPS office in the designated city.

JOSEPH L. DWYER,
Recording Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53–1938; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:45 p. m.]

REGIONS XI AND XII

LIST OF COMMUNITY CEILING PRICE ORDERS

The following orders under General Overriding Regulation 24 were filed with the Division of the Federal Register on February 16, 1953:

REGION XI

Denver Order I–G1–3, amendment 1, filed 3:03 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 1, filed 2:29 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 1, filed 2:29 p. m.

Cheyenne Order II–G4–2, filed 2:32 p. m., IV–G1–1, filed 2:33 p. m., IV–G2–1, filed 2:34 p. m., IV–G4–1, filed 2:35 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 1, filed 2:29 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 2, filed 2:29 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 1, filed 2:29 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 2, filed 3:30 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 1, filed 2:30 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 2, filed 2:30 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 3, filed 2:30 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 1, filed 3:30 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 2, filed 2:31 p. m., II–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:31 p. m., II–G1–1, amendment 3, filed 2:31 p. m., II–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:31 p. m., II–G2–1, amendment 3, filed 2:31 p. m., II–G4–1, amendment 1, filed 2:32 p. m., II–G4–1, amendment 2, filed 2:32 p. m., III–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:32 p. m., III–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:32 p. m., III–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:33 p. m., III–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:33 p. m., IV–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:33 p. m., IV–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:34 p. m., IV–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:34 p. m., IV–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:34 p. m., IV–G4–1, amendment 1, filed 2:35 p. m., IV–G4–1, amendment 2, filed 2:35 p. m., IV–G4–1, amendment 3, filed 2:35 p. m., IV–G4–1, amendment 4, filed 2:36 p. m.

Albuquerque Order II–G1–1, filed 2:36 p. m., II–G2–1, filed 2:36 p. m., II–G4A–1, filed 2:37 p. m., II–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:36 p. m., II–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:36 p. m., II–G4A–1, amendment 1, filed 2:37 p. m.

Salt Lake City Order I–G1–3, filed 2:37 p. m., I–G2–3, filed 2:38 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 1, filed 2:39 p. m., I–G4–3, filed 2:40 p. m., I–G4A–3, filed 2:41 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 1, filed 2:38 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 2, filed 2:38 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 3, filed 2:38 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 4, filed 2:38 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 2, filed 2:39 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 3, filed 2:39 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 4, filed 2:40 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 1, filed 2:40 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 2, filed 2:40 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 3, filed 2:41 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 4, filed 2:41 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 1, filed 2:41 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 2, filed 2:42 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 3, filed 2:42 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 4, filed 2:42 p. m., II–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:42 p. m., II–G1–1, amendment 3, filed 2:42 p. m., II–G1–1, amendment 5, filed 2:43 p. m., II–G1–1, amendment 6, filed 2:44 p. m., II–G1–1, amendment 7, filed 2:44 p. m., II–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:44 p. m., II–G2–1, amendment 5, filed 2:44 p. m., II–G2–1, amendment 6, filed 2:45 p. m., II–G2–1, amendment 7, filed 2:44 p. m., II–G4–1, amendment 2, filed 2:45 p. m., II–G4–1, amendment 5, filed 2:45 p. m., II–G4–1, amendment 6, filed 2:45 p. m., III–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:45 p. m., III–G1–1, amendment 4, filed 2:46 p. m., III–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:46 p. m., III–G2–1, amendment 4, filed 2:46 p. m.

REGION XII

San Francisco Order I–G3–1, filed 2:46 p. m., I–G4–1, filed 2:48 p. m., IA–G1–1, filed 2:51 p. m., IA–G1–2, filed 2:52 p. m; IA–G2–1, filed 2:52 p. m., IA–G2–2, filed 2:53 p. m., IA–G3A–1, filed 2:54 p. m., IA–G3A–2, filed 2:55 p. m., IA–G4A–1, filed 2:56 p. m., IA–G4A–2, filed 2:57 p. m., IB–G1–1, filed 2:57 p. m., IB–G1–2, filed 2:58 p. m., IB–G2–1, filed 2:59 p. m., IB–G2–2, filed 3:00 p. m., IB–G4A–1, filed 3:02 p. m., IB–G4A–2, filed 3:02 p. m., I–G3–1, amendment 1, filed 2:47 p. m., I–G3–1, amendment 2, filed 2:48 p. m., I–G3–1, amendment 3, filed 2:48 p. m., I–G4–1, amendment 1, filed 2:49 p. m., I–G4–1, amendment 2, filed 2:49 p. m., I–G4–1, amendment 3, filed 2:49 p. m., I–G3–2, amendment 2, filed 2:50 p. m., I–G4–2, amendment 2, filed 2:50 p. m., II–G4–1, amendment 4, filed 2:50 p. m., III–G3–1, amendment 2, filed 2:50 p. m., III–G4–1, amendment 2, filed 2:51 p. m., IA–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:51 p. m., IA–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:51 p. m., IA–G1–1, amendment 3, filed 2:51 p. m., IA–G1–2, amendment 1, filed 2:52 p. m., IA–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:53 p. m., IA–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:53 p. m., IA–G2–1, amendment 3, filed 2:53 p. m., IA–G2–2, amendment 1, filed 2:54 p. m., IA–G3A–1, amendment 1, filed 2:54 p. m., IA–G3A–1, amendment 2, filed 2:54 p. m., IA–G3A–1, amendment 3, filed 2:55 p. m., IA–G3A–2, amendment 1, filed 2:55 p. m., IA–G4A–1, amendment 1, filed 2:56 p. m., IA–G4A–1, amendment 2, filed 2:56 p. m., IA–G4A–1, amendment 3, filed 2:56 p. m., IA–G4A–2, amendment 1, filed 2:57 p. m., IB–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:53 p. m., IB–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:58 p. m., IB–G1–1, amendment 3, filed 2:58 p. m., IB–G1–2, amendment 1, filed 2:53 p. m., IB–G1–2, amendment 2, filed 2:59 p. m., IB–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:59 p. m., IB–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:59 p. m., IB–G2–1, amendment 3, filed 3:00 p. m., IB–G2–2, amendment 1, filed 3:00 p. m., IB–G2–2, amendment 2, filed 3:00 p. m., IB–G4A–1, amendment 1, filed 3:02 p. m., IB–G4A–1, amendment 2, filed 3:02 p. m., IB–G4A–1, amendment 3, filed 3:02 p. m., IB–G4A–2, amendment 1, filed 3:03 p. m., IB–G4A–2, amendment 2, filed 3:03 p. m.

Copies of any of these orders may be obtained in any OPS office in the designated city.

JOSEPH L. DWYER,
Recording Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53–1939; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:45 p. m.]

REGION XII AND XIII

LIST OF COMMUNITY CEILING PRICE ORDERS

The following orders under General Overriding Regulation 24 were filed with the Division of the Federal Register on February 17, 1953:

REGION XII

San Francisco-Oakland Order IIA–G1–1, filed 2:02 p. m., IIA–G2–1, filed 2:04 p. m., IIB–G1–1, filed 2:05 p. m., IIB–G2–1, filed 2:07 p. m., IIB–G4A–1, filed 2:03 p. m., IIIA–G1–1, filed 2:10 p. m., IIIA–G2–1, filed 2:11 p. m., IIIA–G3–1, filed 2:12 p. m., IIIA–G4–1, filed 2:13 p. m., IIIA–G4A–1, filed 2:13 p. m., IIIB–G1–1, filed 2:15 p. m., IIIB–G2–1, filed 2:15 p. m., IIIB–G4A–1, filed 2:16 p. m., IIA–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:02 p. m., IIA–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:03 p. m., IIA–G1–1, amendment 3, filed 2:03 p. m., IIA–G1–1, amendment 4, filed 2:03 p. m., IIA–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:04 p. m., IIA–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:05 p. m., IIA–G2–1, amendment 3, filed 2:05 p. m., IIA–G2–1, amendment 4, filed 2:05 p. m., IIB–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:06 p. m., IIB–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:06 p. m., IIB–G1–1, amendment 3, filed 2:06 p. m., IIB–G1–1, amendment 4, filed 2:06 p. m., IIB–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:07 p. m., IIB–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:07 p. m., IIB–G2–1, amendment 3, filed 2:03 p. m., IIB–G2–1, amendment 4, filed 2:03 p. m., IIB–G4A–1, amendment 1, filed 2:09 p. m.,

IIB–G4A–1, amendment 2, filed 2:09 p. m., IIB–G4A–1, amendment 3, filed 2:09 p. m., IIB–G4A–1, amendment 4, filed 2:09 p. m., IIIA–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:10 p. m., IIIA–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:10 p. m., IIIA–G1–1, amendment 3, filed 2:10 p. m., IIIA–G1–1, amendment 4, filed 2:10 p. m., IIIA–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:11 p. m., IIIA–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:11 p. m., IIIA–G2–1, amendment 3, filed 2:11 p. m., IIIA–G2–1, amendment 4, filed 2:12 p. m., IIIA–G3–1, amendment 1, filed 2:13 p. m., IIIA–G4–1, amendment 1, filed 2:13 p. m., IIIA–G4A–1, amendment 1, filed 2:14 p. m., IIIA–G4A–1, amendment 2, filed 2:14 p. m., IIIA–G4A–1, amendment 3, filed 2:14 p. m., IIIA–G4A–1, amendment 4, filed 2:14 p. m., IIIB–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:15 p. m., IIIB–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:15 p. m., IIIB–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:16 p. m., IIIB–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:16 p. m., IIIB–G4A–1, amendment 1, filed 2:16 p. m., IIIB–G4A–1, amendment 2, filed 2:17 p. m.

Los Angeles Order III–G1–1, amendment 4, filed 2:17 p. m., III–G1–1, amendment 5, filed 2:18 p. m., III–G2–1, amendment 3, filed 2:18 p. m., III–G2–1, amendment 4, filed 2:18 p. m., III–G2–1, amendment 5, filed 2:18 p. m., III–G4–1, amendment 3, filed 2:19 p. m., III–G4–1, amendment 4, filed 2:19 p. m., III–G4–1, amendment 5, filed 2:20 p. m.

Reno District Order I–G1–1, filed 2:20 p. m., I–G2–1, filed 2:20 p. m., I–G4–1, filed 2:21 p. m., I–G4A–1, filed 2:21 p. m., II–G1–1, filed 2:21 p. m., II–G2–1, filed 2:22 p. m., II–G4–1, filed 2:23 p. m.

Phoenix Order II–G1–2, filed 2:23 p. m., II–G2–2, filed 2:23 p. m., II–G3A–2, filed 2:24 p. m., II–G4A–2, filed 2:24 p. m., III–G1–2, filed 2:24 p. m., III–G2–2, filed 2:24 p. m., III–G4A–2, filed 2:25 p. m., IV–G3–2, filed 2:25 p. m., IV–G4–2, filed 2:25 p. m.

REGION XIII

Seattle Order I–G1–3, amendment 1, filed 2:26 p. m., I–G1–3, amendment 2, filed 2:26 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 1, filed 2:26 p. m., I–G2–3, amendment 2, filed 2:26 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 1, filed 2:27 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 2, filed 2:27 p. m., I–G4–3, amendment 3, filed 2:27 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 1, filed 2:27 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 2, filed 2:28 p. m., I–G4A–3, amendment 3, filed 2:28 p. m., II–G4–2, amendment 1, filed 2:28 p. m., II–G4–2, amendment 2, filed 2:28 p. m., III–G1–1, amendment 1, filed 2:28 p. m., III–G1–1, amendment 2, filed 2:29 p. m., III–G2–1, amendment 1, filed 2:29 p. m., III–G2–1, amendment 2, filed 2:29 p. m., III–G4A–1, amendment 1, filed 2:29 p. m., III–G4A–1, amendment 2, filed 2:29 p. m.

Boise Order II–G1–2, filed 2:30 p. m., II–G2–2, filed 2:30 p. m., II–G4A–2, filed 2:30 p. m., IIA–G4–2, filed 2:31 p. m., IIB–G4–2, filed 2:31 p. m.

Copies of any of these orders may be obtained in any OPS office in the designated city.

JOSEPH L. DWYER,
Recording Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53–1940; Filed, Feb. 26, 1953; 4:45 p. m.]